# Kervaire conjecture on weight of group via fundamental group of ribbon sphere-link

### Akio KAWAUCHI

Osaka Central Advanced Mathematical Institute, Osaka Metropolitan University
Sugimoto, Sumiyoshi-ku, Osaka 558-8585, Japan
kawauchi@omu.ac.jp

#### ABSTRACT

Kervaire conjecture that the weight of the free product of every non-trivial group and the infinite cyclic group is not one is affirmatively confirmed by confirming affirmatively Conjecture  ${\bf Z}$  on the knot exterior introduced by González Acuña and Ramírez as a conjecture equivalent to Kervaire conjecture.

 $\label{eq:conjecture} \textit{Keywords: Weight, Kervaire conjecture, Conjecture $\mathbf{Z}$, Whitehead aspherical conjecture, Ribbon sphere-link,}$ 

Mathematics Subject classification 2020: 20F06, 57M05

## 1. Introduction

A weight system of a group G is a system of elements  $w_i$ ,  $(i=1,2,\ldots,n)$  of G such that the normal closure  $N(w_1,w_2,\ldots,w_n)$  of  $w_i$ ,  $(i=1,2,\ldots,n)$  in G (=: the smallest normal subgroup generated by  $w_i$ ,  $(i=1,2,\ldots,n)$  in G) is equal to G. The weight of a group G is the least cardinal number w(G) of a weight system of G. By convention, w(G)=0 if and only if G is the trivial group. The rank of G is the least cardinal number r(G) of generators of G. The difference r(G)-w(G) is nonnegative and in general taken sufficiently large. For example, let  $G=\pi_1(S^3\setminus k,x)$  be the fundamental group of a polygonal knot k in  $S^3$ . Then  $G\cong \mathbb{Z}$  and r(G)=1 for the trivial knot k, r(G)=2 for the trefoil knot  $k=3_1$ , and r(G)=n for the  $n-1(\geq 2)$ -fold connected sum  $k=\#_{n-1}3_1$  of the trefoil knot  $3_1$ . On the other hand,

w(G) = 1 for every knot k, because  $G/N(m(k)) = \{1\}$  for a meridian element m(k) of k. Let  $G * \mathbf{Z}$  denote the free product of a group G and the infinite cyclic group  $\mathbf{Z}$ . Kervaire's conjecture on the weight of a group is the following conjecture (see Kervaire [6], Magnus-Karrass-Solitar [8, p. 403]):

**Kervaire Conjecture.**  $w(G * \mathbf{Z}) > 1$  for every non-trivial group G.

Some partial affirmative confirmations of this conjecture are known. For example, the following result of Klyachko [7] is used in this paper:

**Theorem (Klyachko).**  $w(G * \mathbf{Z}) > 1$  for every non-trivial torsion-free group G.

A knot exterior is a compact 3-manifold  $E = \operatorname{cl}(S^3 \setminus N(k))$  for a tubular neighborhood N(k) of a polygonal knot k in the 3-sphere  $S^3$ . Let F be a compact connected orientable non-separating proper surface of E where the boundary  $\partial F$  of F may be disconnected. Let  $E(F) = \operatorname{cl}(E \setminus F \times I)$  be the compact piecewise-linear 3-manifold for a normal line bundle  $F \times I$  of F in E(F) where I = [-1,1]. Let  $E(F)^+$  be the 3-complex obtained from E(F) by adding the cone  $\operatorname{Cone}(v, F \times \partial I)$  over the base  $F \times \partial I$  with a vertex v disjoint from E, where  $\partial I = \{1,-1\}$ . The 3-complex  $E(F)^+$  is also considered to be obtained from E by shrinking the normal line bundle  $F \times I$  into the vertex v. The result of Conjecture  $\mathbf{Z}$  due to González Acuña and Ramírez in [2] is stated as follows:

Theorem (González Acuña-Ramírez). Kervaire's conjecture is equivalent to the following conjecture:

Conjecture **Z**. The fundamental group  $\pi_1(E(F)^+, v)$  is isomorphic to **Z** for every knot exterior E and every compact connected orientable non-separating proper surface F in E.

See [1, 2, 9] for some knot theoretical investigations of this surface F and some partial confirmations. In this paper, Kervaire conjecture is confirmed affirmatively by confirming Conjecture  $\mathbf{Z}$  affirmatively.

**Theorem 1.** Conjecture **Z** is true.

González Acuña-Ramírez theorem and Theorem 1 imply:

Corollary 2. Kervaire conjectureis true.

An outline of the proof of Theorem 1 is explained as follows.

## Outline of the proof of Theorem 1. Let

$$E(F)^{++} = E(F) \cup \operatorname{Cone}(v_+, F \times 1) \cup \operatorname{Cone}(v_-, F \times (-1))$$

be a 3-complex for distinct vertexes  $v_+$  and  $v_-$  disjoint from E. Then the 3-complex  $E(F)^+$  is homotopy equivalent to the bouquet  $E(F)^{++} \vee S^1$ . Hence the fundamental group  $\pi_1(E(F)^+, v)$  is isomorphic to the free product  $\pi_1(E(F)^{++}, v) * \mathbf{Z}$ . Thus,  $\pi_1(E(F)^+, v) \cong \mathbf{Z}$  if and only if  $\pi_1(E(F)^{++}, v) = \{1\}$  and Conjecture  $\mathbf{Z}$  is equivalent to the claim that  $\pi_1(E(F)^{++}, v) = \{1\}$ . The following observation is used.

**Lemma 3.** 
$$w(\pi_1(E(F)^+, v)) = w(\pi_1(E(F)^{++}, v) * \mathbf{Z}) = 1.$$

**Proof of Lemma 3.** Because the fundamental group  $\pi_1(E(F)^+, v)$  is a non-trivial quotient group of  $\pi_1(E, v)$  and  $w(\pi_1(E, v)) = 1$ , the desired result is obtained. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.  $\square$ .

The following lemma is proved in Section 2.

**Lemma 4.** The fundamental group  $\pi_1(E(F)^+, v)$  is a torsion-free group.

By assuming Lemma 4, the proof of Theorem 1 is completed as follows:

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Klyachko Theorem says that if G is a torsion-free group and  $w(G * \mathbf{Z}) = 1$ , then  $G = \{1\}$ . Hence by this theorem and Lemmas 3, 4,  $\pi_1(E(F)^{++}, v) \cong \{1\}$  and  $\pi_1(E(F)^+, v) \cong \mathbf{Z}$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$ .

In the first draft of this research, the author tried to show that every finitely presented group G with  $w(G * \mathbf{Z}) = 1$  is torsion-free. This trial succeeds for a group G of deficiency 0, but failed for a group G of negative deficiency. The main point of this failure is the attempt to construct a finitely presented group of deficiency 0 from the group of negative deficiency, which forced the author to show that G is a torsion-free group while the deficiency remains negative. Fortunately, the fundamental group  $\pi_1(E(F)^+, v)$  of the 3-complex  $E(F)^+$  was an excellent object to this consideration, so it could be done.

# 2. Proof of Lemma 4

The proof of Lemma 4 is done as follows by using the consept of collapse in [3].

**Proof of Lemma 4.** Collapse F into a triangulated graph  $\gamma$  by using that F is a bounded surface. Enlarge the fiber I of a normal line bundle  $F \times I$  of F in E into a fiber J of a normal line bundle  $F \times J$  of F in E so that  $I \subset J \setminus \partial J$ . Let  $J^c = \operatorname{cl}(J \setminus I)$ . Let  $E(F)^- = \operatorname{cl}(E \setminus F \times J)$ . Collapse  $F \times J^c$  into  $\gamma \times J^c$ . Triangulate  $\gamma \times J^c$  without introducing new vertexes. The 3-complex  $E(F)^+$  is collapsed into a finite 3-complex

$$E(F)^- \cup \gamma \times J^c \cup \operatorname{Cone}(v, \gamma \times \partial I)$$

and thus collapsed into a finite 2-complex

$$P = P^- \cup \gamma \times J^c \cup \operatorname{Cone}(v, \gamma \times \partial I)$$

obtained by taking any 2-complex  $P^-$  collapsed from  $E(F)^-$ . This 2-complex P is a subcomplex of a 3-complex

$$Q = \operatorname{Cone}(v, P^- \cup \gamma \times J^c).$$

Since every 2-complex of  $\gamma \times J^c$  contains at most one 1-simplex of  $\gamma \times \partial I$ , every 3-simplex of  $\operatorname{Cone}(v,\gamma \times J^c)$  contains at most one 2-simplex of  $\operatorname{Cone}(v,\gamma \times \partial I)$ . Collapse every 3-simplex of  $\operatorname{Cone}(v,\gamma \times J^c)$  from a 2-face containing v and not belonging to  $\operatorname{Cone}(v,\gamma \times \partial I)$ . Then collapse every 3-simplex of  $\operatorname{Cone}(v,P^-)$  from any 2-face containing the vertex v. Thus, the 3-complex Q is collapsed to a finite 2-complex C containing the 2-complex P as a subcomplex. Since Q is collapsed to the vertex v, C is a finite contractible 2-complex. It is shown in [4] that every connected subcomplex of a finite contractible 2-complex is aspherical (see also [5]). Since the fundamental group of a connected aspherical complex is a torsion-free group, the group  $\pi_1(P,v)$  is a torsion-free group. Note that this torsion-freeness comes from the torsion-freeness of the fundamental group of a ribbon  $S^2$ -link in the 4-sphere  $S^4$  which corresponds bijectively to the fundamental group of a connected subcomplex of a finite contractible 2-complex, as it is discussed in [4]. Actually, the group  $\pi_1(P,v)$  is a ribbon  $S^2$ -knot group, for  $H_1(P; \mathbf{Z}) \cong \mathbf{Z}$ . Since  $\pi_1(E(F)^+, v)$  is isomorphic to  $\pi_1(P, v)$ , the group  $\pi_1(E(F)^+, v)$  is a torsion-free group. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.  $\square$ 

# Acknowledgements

This work was partly supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP19H01788, JP21H00978 and MEXT Promotion of Distinctive Joint Research Center Program JPMXP0723833165.

## References

- [1] M. Eudave-Muñoz, On knots with icon surfaces, Osaka Journal of Mathematics, 50 (2013), 271–285.
- [2] F. González Acuña and A. Ramírez, A knot-theoretic equivalent of the Kervaire conjecture, J. Knot Theory Ramifications, 15 (2006), 471–478.
- [3] J. F. P. Hudson, Piecewise-linear topology, Benjamin (1969).
- [4] A. Kawauchi, Ribbonness of Kervaire's sphere-link in homotopy 4-sphere and its consequences to 2-complexes. Available from: https://sites.google.com/view/kawauchiwriting
- [5] A. Kawauchi, Whitehead aspherical conjecture via ribbon sphere-link. Available from: https://sites.google.com/view/ kawauchiwriting
- [6] M. A. Kervaire, On higher dimensional knots, in: Differential and combinatorial topology, Princeton Math. Ser. 27 (1965), 105-119, Princeton Univ. Press.
- [7] Ant. A. Klyachko, A funny property of a sphere and equations over groups, Comm. Algebra 21 (1993), 2555–2575.
- [8] W. Magnus, A. Karrass and D. Solitar, Combinatorial group theory: Presentations of groups in terms of generators and relations, Interscience Publisheres (1966).
- [9] J. Rodríguez-Viorato and F. González Acuña, On pretzel knots and Conjecture **Z**, Journal of Knot Theory and Its Ramifications, 25 (2016) 1650012.