## Plan of the study (Yosuke Saito)

We use the following notations. For a complex number q satisfying |q|<1 and  $x\in\mathbb{C}$ , set  $(x;q)_{\infty}:=\prod_{n\geq 0}(1-xq^n)$ . For  $x\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$ , set  $\Theta_q(x):=(q;q)_{\infty}(x;q)_{\infty}(qx^{-1};q)_{\infty}$  (theta function). By setting  $D_x=x\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$  (Euler derivative), we define  $E_k(x;q):=-D_x{}^k\log\Theta_q(x)$  ( $k\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ). For complex numbers q, p satisfying |q|<1, |p|<1 and  $x\in\mathbb{C}$ , set  $(x;q,p)_{\infty}:=\prod_{m,n\geq 0}(1-xq^mp^n)$ . For  $x\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$ , set  $\Gamma_{q,p}(x):=\frac{(qpx^{-1};q,p)_{\infty}}{(x;q,p)_{\infty}}$  (elliptic gamma function).

Let N be an positive integer,  $\beta$  be a complex number, and p be a complex number satisfying |p|<1. The Hamiltonian of the elliptic Calogero-Moser system  $H_N^{\text{CM}}(\beta,p)$  is defined by

$$H_N^{\text{CM}}(\beta, p) := \sum_{i=1}^N D_{x_i}^2 - \beta(\beta - 1) \sum_{1 \le i \ne j \le N} E_2(x_i/x_j; p).$$

Then the following fact is known: the function  $\Psi_N(x;\beta,p) := \prod_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq N} \Theta_p(x_i/x_j)^{\beta/2}$  satisfies

$$H_N^{\text{CM}}(\beta, p)\Psi_N(x; \beta, p) = \{2N\beta D_p + C_N(\beta, p)\}\Psi_N(x; \beta, p), \cdots (*)$$

where  $C_N(\beta, p)$  is a complex number determined by N,  $\beta$ , p. It is remarkable that the derivative  $D_p = p \frac{\partial}{\partial p}$  is in the right hand side of (\*). This means that the elliptic Calogero-Moser system has a solution which involves the infinitesimal deformation of the elliptic modulus p.

Let N be a positive integer, q, p be complex numbers satisfying |q|<1, |p|<1, and t be a complex number satisfying  $t\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$ . The Hamiltonian of the elliptic Ruijsenaars system  $H_N^{\mathrm{R}}(q,t,p)$  is defined by

$$H_N^{\mathrm{R}}(q,t,p) := \sum_{i=1}^N \prod_{j \neq i} \left( \frac{\Theta_p(tx_i/x_j)\Theta_p(qt^{-1}x_i/x_j)}{\Theta_p(x_i/x_j)\Theta_p(qx_i/x_j)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} T_{q,x_i},$$

where  $T_{q,x}$  is the q-shift operator which is defined by  $T_{q,x}f(x)=f(qx)$ . Then the function  $\Psi_N(x;q,t,p):=\prod_{1\leq i\neq j\leq N}\left(\frac{\Gamma_{q,p}(tx_i/x_j)}{\Gamma_{q,p}(x_i/x_j)}\right)^{1/2}$  satisfies

$$H_N^{\rm R}(q,t,p)\Psi_N(x;q,t,p) = t^{\frac{-N+1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^N \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\Theta_p(tx_i/x_j)}{\Theta_p(x_i/x_j)} \Psi_N(x;q,t,p) \cdots (**)$$

It is known that by setting  $t=q^{\beta}$  and by taking the limit  $q \to 1$  appropriately, the equation (\*\*) degenerates to the equation (\*). Thus it is probable that the equation (\*\*) contains a certain difference deformation of the elliptic modulus p. By standing the point of view, the author will study the elliptic Ruijsenaars system.