

---

# Minimization problems on the Hardy-Sobolev inequality

Masato Hashizume

Received: date / Accepted: date

**Abstract** We study minimization problems on Hardy-Sobolev type inequality. We consider the case where singularity is in interior of bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ . The attainability of best constants for Hardy-Sobolev type inequalities with boundary singularities have been studied so far, for example [5] [6] [9] etc. . . . According to their results, the mean curvature of  $\partial\Omega$  at singularity affects the attainability of the best constants. In contrast with the case of boundary singularity, it is well known that the best Hardy-Sobolev constant

$$\mu_s(\Omega) := \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \mid u \in H_0^1(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} = 1 \right\}$$

is never achieved for all bounded domain  $\Omega$  if  $0 \in \Omega$ . We see that the position of singularity on domain is related to the existence of minimizer. In this paper, we consider the attainability of the best constant for the embedding  $H^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2^*(s)}(\Omega)$  for bounded domain  $\Omega$  with  $0 \in \Omega$ . In this problem, scaling invariance doesn't hold and we can not obtain information of singularity like mean curvature.

**Keywords** critical exponent · Hardy-Sobolev inequality · minimization problem · Neumann

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2000)** 35J20

## 1 Introduction

We study the minimization problems for the Hardy-Sobolev type inequalities. Let  $N \geq 3$ ,  $\Omega$  is bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $0 \in \Omega$ ,  $0 < s < 2$ , and  $2^*(s) := 2(N-s)/(N-2)$ . The Hardy-Sobolev inequality asserts that there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that

$$C \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \quad (1)$$

for all  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ . For  $s = 0$ , the inequality (1) is called Sobolev inequality and for  $s = 2$ , the inequality (1) is called Hardy inequality.

In the non-singular case ( $s = 0$ ), it is well known that the best Sobolev constant  $S$  is independent of domain  $\Omega$  and  $S$  is never achieved for all bounded domains. But if  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $H^1(\Omega)$  is replaced by the function space of  $u \in L^{2N/(N-2)}(\Omega)$  with  $\nabla u \in L^2(\Omega)$ , then  $S$  is achieved by the function  $u(x) = c(1 + |x|^2)^{(2-N)/2}$  and hence the value  $S = N(N-2)\pi[\Gamma(N/2)/\Gamma(N)]^{2/N}$  explicitly (see [1], [13] and [16]).

In the case of  $s = 2$ , the best constant for the Hardy inequality is  $[(N-2)/2]^2$  and this constant is never achieved for all bounded domains and  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . This fact suggests that it is possible to improve this inequality. For example Brezis and Vazquez [2], many people research the optimal inequality of (1). In other words, the best remainder term for (1) is studied actively.

In the case of  $0 < s < 2$ , the best Hardy-Sobolev constant is defined by

$$\mu_s(\Omega) := \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \mid u \in H_0^1(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} = 1 \right\}.$$

This constant has some similar properties to these of the best Sobolev constant. Indeed, due to scaling invariance,  $\mu_s(\Omega)$  is independent of  $\Omega$ , and thus  $\mu_s := \mu_s(\Omega) = \mu_s(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is not attained for all bounded domains. If  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$ , then  $\mu_s$  is attained by

$$y_a(x) = [a(N-s)(N-2)]^{\frac{N-2}{2(2-s)}} (a + |x|^{2-s})^{\frac{2-N}{2-s}}$$

for some  $a > 0$  and hence

$$\mu_s = (N-2)(N-s) \left( \frac{\omega_{N-1}}{2-s} \frac{\Gamma^2(\frac{N-s}{2-s})}{\Gamma(\frac{2(N-s)}{2-s})} \right)^{\frac{2-s}{N-s}}$$

(see [9] and [13]) where  $\omega_{N-1}$  is the area of the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

On the other hand, for  $0 \in \partial\Omega$ , the result of the attainability for  $\mu_s(\Omega)$  is quite different from that in the situation of  $0 \in \Omega$ . By Ghoussoub-Robert [6], it has proved that if  $\Omega$  has smooth boundary and the mean curvature of  $\partial\Omega$  at 0 is negative, then the extremal of  $\mu_s(\Omega)$  exists for all  $N \geq 3$ . Recently, Lin and Wadade [14] have studied the following minimization problem;

$$\mu_{s,p}^\lambda(\Omega) := \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \lambda \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \mid u \in H_0^1(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx = 1 \right\}$$

where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $2 \leq p \leq 2N/(N-2)$ . Furthermore, as related results, Hsia, Lin and Wadade [10] studied the existence of the solution of double critical elliptic equations related with  $\mu_{s,2^*}^\lambda(\Omega)$ , that is, they have showed the existence of the solution for

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \lambda u^{2^*-1} + \frac{u^{2^*(s)-1}}{|x|^s} = 0, & u > 0, & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

under the appropriate conditions where  $2^* = 2N/(N-2)$ . To prove these results, we use the theorem of Egnell [4]. He showed that the existence of the extremal for  $\mu_s(\Omega)$  if  $\Omega$  is a half space  $\mathbb{R}_+^N$  or an open cone. The open cone  $\mathcal{C}$  is written of the form  $\mathcal{C} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid x =$

$r\theta$ ,  $\theta \in \Sigma\}$  where  $\Sigma$  is connected domain on the unit sphere  $\mathcal{S}^{N-1}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . By this result,  $\mu_s(\mathcal{C}) > \mu_s(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and there is a positive solution for

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)-1}}{|x|^s} & \text{in } \mathcal{C}, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\mathcal{C}, \text{ and } u(x) = o(|x|^{2-N}) \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases}$$

The Neumann case also has been studied. Let  $\Omega$  has  $C^2$  boundary and the mean curvature of  $\partial\Omega$  at 0 is positive. Ghossoub and Kang [5] have showed that there is a least energy solution for

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \lambda u = \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)-1}}{|x|^s} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

for  $N \geq 3$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ .

Like these results, if  $0 \in \partial\Omega$ , we can use the benefit of the mean curvature of  $\partial\Omega$  at 0 to show the results. However if  $0 \in \Omega$ , we cannot obtain the information of singularity such the mean curvature, and the fact causes some technical difficulties.

In this paper, we consider the attainability for the following minimization problem

$$\mu_s^N(\Omega) := \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^2 + u^2) dx \mid u \in H^1(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx = 1 \right\}.$$

The main theorem is as follows.

**Theorem 1** *Let  $\partial\Omega$  has a smoothness which the Sobolev embeddings hold, then the following statements hold true.*

(I) *If  $\Omega$  is sufficiently small, then  $\mu_s^N(\Omega)$  is attained. Especially, if  $\Omega$  satisfies the following;*

$$|\Omega| \left( \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} dx \right)^{-\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} \leq \mu_s$$

*then  $\mu_s^N(\Omega)$  is attained, where  $|\Omega|$  is the  $N$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure of domain  $\Omega$ .*

(II) *There is a positive constant  $M$  which depends on only  $\Omega$  such that  $\mu_s^N(r\Omega)$  is never attained if  $r > M$ .*

Eventually, the size of domain affects the attainability of  $\mu_s^N(\Omega)$ .

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce three lemmas to prove Theorem 1. Then in Section 3 we prove Theorem 1 using the lemmas in Section 2. In Section 4, as an application, we consider the case when singularity is in the boundary of domain. Then we introduce a new result concerning the attainability of  $\mu_s^N(\Omega)$  with boundary singularity.

## 2 Preparation

In this section, we prepare some lemmas to prove Theorem 1.

**Lemma 1** For  $r > 0$ , the value  $\mu_{s,r}^N(\Omega)$  is defined by

$$\mu_{s,r}^N(\Omega) := \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^2 + ru^2) dx \mid u \in H^1(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx = 1 \right\}.$$

We have

$$\mu_{s,r}^N(\Omega) = \mu_s^N(r\Omega).$$

*Proof* For  $r > 0$  and  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ ,  $u_r$  is defined by the scaling of  $u$ , that is  $u_r(x) := r^{\frac{2-N}{2}} u(x/r) \in H^1(r\Omega)$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{r\Omega} |\nabla u_r|^2 dx &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx, \\ \int_{r\Omega} u_r^2 dx &= r^2 \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx, \\ \int_{r\Omega} \frac{u_r^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx. \end{aligned}$$

With these facts in mind, taking  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{u^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx = 1, \quad \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^2 + r^2 u^2) dx \leq \mu_{s,r}^N(\Omega) + \varepsilon$$

for  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, we have

$$\mu_s^N(r\Omega) \leq \int_{r\Omega} (|\nabla u_r|^2 + u_r^2) dx = \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^2 + r^2 u^2) dx \leq \mu_{s,r}^N(\Omega) + \varepsilon.$$

Hence we have  $\mu_s^N(r\Omega) \leq \mu_{s,r}^N(\Omega)$ .

The inverse also holds by replacing  $\Omega$  with  $r\Omega$ .

**Lemma 2** There exists a positive constant  $C$  which depends on only  $\Omega$  such that

$$\mu_s \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx + C \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx \quad (u \in H^1(\Omega)). \quad (2)$$

Before beginning the proof, we make a remark. H. Jaber [12] has shown that the following theorem.

**Theorem 2** ([12]) If  $(M, g)$  is a compact Riemannian manifold without boundary and  $0 \in M$ , there is a constant  $C = C(M, g)$  such that

$$\mu_s \left( \int_M \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)}}{d_g(x, 0)^s} dv_g \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} \leq \int_M |\nabla u|^2 dv_g + C \int_M u^2 dv_g \quad (u \in H^1(M))$$

where  $d_g$  is the Riemannian distance on  $M$ .

Different from Theorem 2,  $\Omega$  is bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and therefore  $\Omega$  has a boundary, thus we can show the inequality (2) simply.

*Proof* Let  $0 \in \Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2 \subset \Omega$  and these two subdomain are taken suitable again later. A cut-off function is defined by  $\phi$  which satisfies

$$\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad 0 \leq \phi \leq 1 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad \phi = 1 \text{ on } \Omega_1, \quad \phi = 0 \text{ on } \Omega \setminus \Omega_2.$$

Here, we construct a partition of unity  $\eta_1, \eta_2$  defined by

$$\eta_1 := \frac{\phi^2}{\phi^2 + (1-\phi)^2}, \quad \eta_2 := \frac{(1-\phi)^2}{\phi^2 + (1-\phi)^2}.$$

Note that  $\eta_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, \eta_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \in C^2(\Omega)$  by the definition. We may assume that  $u \in C^\infty(\Omega) \cap H^1(\Omega)$  by density. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_s \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} &= \mu_s \|u^2\|_{L^{2^*(s)/2}(\Omega, |x|^{-s})} = \mu_s \left\| \sum_{i=1}^2 \eta_i u^2 \right\|_{L^{2^*(s)/2}(\Omega, |x|^{-s})} \\ &\leq \mu_s \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\eta_i u^2\|_{L^{2^*(s)/2}(\Omega, |x|^{-s})} \\ &= \mu_s \sum_{i=1}^2 \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\eta_i^{\frac{1}{2}} u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} \\ &= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate  $I_1, I_2$  for each.

For  $I_1$ , since  $\text{supp} \eta_1 \subset \Omega$  we can use the Hardy-Sobolev inequality. We get that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \mu_s \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\eta_1^{\frac{1}{2}} u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(\eta_1^{\frac{1}{2}} u)|^2 dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \eta_1 dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla(\eta_1^{\frac{1}{2}}) \cdot \nabla(\eta_1^{\frac{1}{2}} u^2) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\eta_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \in C^2(\Omega)$  we may integrate by parts the second term and hence we obtain

$$I_1 \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \eta_1 dx - \int_{\Omega} \Delta(\eta_1^{\frac{1}{2}}) \eta_1^{\frac{1}{2}} u^2 dx \quad (3)$$

For  $I_2$ , since  $0 \notin \text{supp} \eta_2$  and taking account to that  $\eta = 0$  on  $\Omega_1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \mu_s \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\eta_2^{\frac{1}{2}} u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} = \mu_s \left( \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_1} \frac{|\eta_2^{\frac{1}{2}} u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} \\ &\leq \mu_s \cdot a \left( \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_1} |\eta_2^{\frac{1}{2}} u|^{2^*(s)} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} \\ &\leq \mu_s \cdot a \cdot |\Omega \setminus \Omega_1|^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)} - \frac{2}{2^*}} \left( \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_1} |\eta_2^{\frac{1}{2}} u|^{2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \\ &\leq \mu_s \cdot a \cdot |\Omega \setminus \Omega_1|^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)} - \frac{2}{2^*}} S(\Omega, \Omega_1)^{-1} \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_1} |\nabla(\eta_2^{\frac{1}{2}} u)|^2 dx \\ &= \mu_s \cdot a \cdot |\Omega \setminus \Omega_1|^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)} - \frac{2}{2^*}} S(\Omega, \Omega_1)^{-1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(\eta_2^{\frac{1}{2}} u)|^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

where  $a := \text{dist}(0, \partial\Omega_1)^{-2s/2^*(s)}$  and

$$S(\Omega, \Omega_1) := \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_1} |\nabla u|^2 dx \mid u \in H^1(\Omega), u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega_1, \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_1} |u|^{2^*} = 1 \right\}.$$

Here, let us take  $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega_1$ . It is clearly that  $a \leq \text{dist}(0, \partial\Omega_0)^{-2s/2^*(s)}$ . On the other hand, for  $u \in H^1(\Omega \setminus \Omega_1)$  such that  $u = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega_1$ , we define  $v \in H^1(\Omega \setminus \Omega_0)$  by

$$v := \begin{cases} u & \text{in } \Omega \setminus \Omega_1 \\ 0 & \text{in } \Omega_1 \setminus \Omega_0. \end{cases}$$

By identifying  $u \in H^1(\Omega \setminus \Omega_1)$  with  $v \in H^1(\Omega \setminus \Omega_0)$  concerning the calculation of the Sobolev quotient, we may see that

$$\{u \in H^1(\Omega \setminus \Omega_1) \mid u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega_1\} \subset \{u \in H^1(\Omega \setminus \Omega_0) \mid u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega_0\}.$$

Hence we obtain  $S(\Omega, \Omega_1) \geq S(\Omega, \Omega_0)$ . Consequently, if  $\Omega_1$  is sufficiently large,  $a$  and  $S(\Omega, \Omega_1)^{-1}$  is bounded from above uniformly. By choosing  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  close to  $\Omega$  we obtain

$$I_2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(\eta_2^{\frac{1}{2}} u)|^2 dx.$$

Therefore

$$I_2 \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \eta_2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \eta_2^{\frac{1}{2}}|^2 u^2 dx. \quad (4)$$

Here, since  $\eta_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, \eta_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \in C^2(\Omega)$  there is a positive constant  $C$  such that

$$\max_{x \in \Omega} |\Delta(\eta_1^{\frac{1}{2}})| \leq \frac{C}{2}, \quad \max_{x \in \Omega} |\nabla \eta_2^{\frac{1}{2}}|^2 \leq \frac{C}{2}. \quad (5)$$

This constant depends on only  $\Omega$ .

Consequently (3), (4) and (5) yield that

$$\mu_s \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} \leq I_1 + I_2 \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx + C \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx.$$

**Lemma 3**  $\mu_s^N(\Omega) \leq \mu_s$  holds (see [9], Lemma 11.1). Furthermore, the following statements hold true;

- (I) If  $\mu_s^N(\Omega) < \mu_s$ , then  $\mu_s^N(\Omega)$  is attained.
- (II) If  $\mu_s^N(\Omega) = \mu_s$ , then  $\mu_s^N(r\Omega)$  is not attained for all  $r > 1$ .

Firstly, we prove Lemma 3 (I).

*Proof (Proof of Lemma 3 (I))*

Assume  $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset H^1(\Omega)$  is a minimizing sequence of  $\mu_s^N(\Omega)$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx = 1 \quad (6)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and which implies

$$\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_n|^2 + u_n^2) dx = \mu_s^N(\Omega) + o(1) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \quad (7)$$

Thus  $u_n$  is bounded in  $H^1(\Omega)$ . So we can suppose, up to a subsequence,

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\rightharpoonup u && \text{in } H^1(\Omega) \\ u_n &\rightarrow u && \text{in } L^p(\Omega) \quad (1 \leq p < 2^*) \\ u_n &\rightarrow u && \text{in } L^q(\Omega, |x|^{-s}) \quad (1 \leq q < 2^*(s)) \\ u_n &\rightarrow u && \text{a.e. in } \Omega \end{aligned}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

For this limit function  $u$ , we show that  $u \not\equiv 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ . Assume that  $u \equiv 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ . By the inequality (2) in Lemma 2,

$$\mu_s \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx + C \int_{\Omega} u_n^2 dx \quad (8)$$

holds for all  $n$ . Thus (6), (7), (8) and  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$  yield

$$\mu_s \leq \mu_s^N(\Omega) + o(1).$$

Letting  $n$  tend to infinity, we obtain  $\mu_s \leq \mu_s^N(\Omega)$  and which is contradiction in the assumption of  $\mu_s^N(\Omega) < \mu_s$ . Consequently  $u \not\equiv 0$ .

By the theorem of Brezis and Lieb (see [3]), we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx = \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n - u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx + o(1)$$

and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} \\ &= \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n - u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} + o(1) \\ &\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} + \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n - u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} + \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n - u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} \\ &\leq \frac{\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^2 + u^2) dx}{\mu_s^N(\Omega)} + \frac{\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla(u_n - u)|^2 + (u_n - u)^2) dx}{\mu_s^N(\Omega)} \\ &= \frac{\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_n|^2 + u_n^2) dx}{\mu_s^N(\Omega)} + o(1) \\ &= 1 + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence there exist a limit and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n - u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} + \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n - u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} \right] \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

By the equality condition of the above, we get either

$$u \equiv 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \quad \text{or} \quad u_n \rightarrow u \not\equiv 0 \quad \text{in } L^{2^*(s)}(\Omega, |x|^{-s}).$$

Since  $u \not\equiv 0$  we obtain  $u_n \rightarrow u \not\equiv 0$  in  $L^{2^*(s)}(\Omega, |x|^{-s})$  and hence this  $u$  is the minimizer of  $\mu_s^N(\Omega)$ .

Next, we prove Lemma 3 (II).

*Proof (Proof of Lemma 3 (II))* We assume the existence of the minimizer of  $\mu_s^N(r\Omega)$  and derive a contradiction. Let  $u \in H^1(r\Omega)$  be a minimizer of  $\mu_s^N(r\Omega)$ , then we have

$$\mu_s^N(r\Omega) = \int_{r\Omega} (|\nabla u|^2 + u^2) dx > \int_{r\Omega} (|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} u^2) dx \geq \mu_{s,1/r}^N(r\Omega).$$

By Lemma 1, the assumption  $\mu_s^N(\Omega) = \mu_s$  and  $\mu_s^N(r\Omega) \leq \mu_s$ , we have

$$\mu_s \geq \mu_s^N(r\Omega) > \mu_{s,1/r}^N(r\Omega) = \mu_s^N(\Omega) = \mu_s.$$

This is a contradiction.

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.

*Proof (Proof of Theorem 1 (I))* We recall that

$$\mu_s^N(\Omega) := \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^2 + u^2) dx \mid u \in H^1(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx = 1 \right\}.$$

Taking a constant  $C$  such that  $\int_{\Omega} \frac{C^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} = 1$  and  $u \equiv C$  as a test function, it follows that

$$\mu_s^N(\Omega) \leq |\Omega| \left( \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} \right)^{-\frac{2}{2^*(s)}}.$$

If this  $C$  is a minimizer of  $\mu_s^N(\Omega)$ , then by Lagrange multiplier theorem  $C$  is a classical solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + u = \mu_s^N(\Omega) \frac{u^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} & \text{in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

This contradicts and therefore

$$\mu_s^N(\Omega) < |\Omega| \left( \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} \right)^{-\frac{2}{2^*(s)}}.$$

Combining this estimate and Lemma 3 (I), Theorem 1 (I) holds true.



*Proof (Proof of Theorem 1 (II))* Since Lemma 2, We can define a constant  $m$  by

$$m := \inf \{C > 0 \mid (2) \text{ holds.}\}.$$

$M$  is defined by  $M := \sqrt{m}$ . In inequality (2),  $C$  is replaced by  $M^2$  and hence we have

$$\mu_s \leq \frac{\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^2 + M^2 u^2) dx}{\left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}}} \quad (9)$$

for all  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ . Therefore by Lemma 1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_s &\leq \inf_{u \in H^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^2 + M^2 u^2) dx}{\left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}}} \\ &= \mu_{s,M}^N(\Omega) \\ &= \mu_s^N(M\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that  $\mu_s^N(\Omega) \leq \mu_s$  holds for all bounded domain  $\Omega$  and thus  $\mu_s^N(M\Omega) = \mu_s$ . Consequently we obtain the result of Theorem 1 (II) by Lemma 3 (II).

#### 4 Singularity on the boundary

Throughout this section, assume that  $0 \in \partial\Omega$ . If the mean curvature of  $\partial\Omega$  at 0 is positive, we have obtained the results in Section 1. However, if the mean curvature of  $\partial\Omega$  at 0 vanishes, we don't obtain results so far, even if the attainability of  $\mu_s^N(\Omega)$ . In this section, we show the following results by using the strategy in Section 2 and Section 3.

**Theorem 3** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is bounded domain with smooth boundary,  $0 \in \partial\Omega$  and  $\partial\Omega$  is flat near the origin. Then the following statements hold;*

(I) *If  $\Omega$  is sufficiently small, then  $\mu_s^N(\Omega)$  is attained. Especially, if  $\Omega$  satisfies the following;*

$$|\Omega| \left( \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} dx \right)^{-\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} \leq \frac{\mu_s}{2^{\frac{2-s}{N-s}}}$$

*then  $\mu_s^N(\Omega)$  is attained.*

(II) *There is a positive constant  $M$  which depends on only  $\Omega$  such that  $\mu_s^N(r\Omega)$  is never attained if  $r > M$ .*

This condition of the boundary in this theorem is a special case of vanishing of the mean curvature of  $\partial\Omega$  at 0.

We prove the theorem in the same way as in Section 2 and Section 3. Different from the proof of Theorem 1, we need the following lemma instead of Lemma 2.

**Lemma 4** *There is a positive constant  $C$  depends on only  $\Omega$  such that*

$$\frac{\mu_s}{2^{\frac{2-s}{N-s}}} \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx + C \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx \quad (u \in H^1(\Omega)). \quad (10)$$

*Proof* We introduce some notation.  $B_R(0)$  is an open ball which center is origin and radius is  $R$ .  $\mathbb{R}_+^N$  is a half space which is defined by  $\mathbb{R}_+^N := \{(x', x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N | x_N > 0\}$  where  $x' := (x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ .

Since  $\partial\Omega$  is flat near the origin, by rotating coordinate there is a constant  $r > 0$  such that  $B_r(0) \cap \Omega = B_r^+(0) := B_r(0) \cap \mathbb{R}_+^N$ . For  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} &= \left( \int_{B_r^+(0)} \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx + \int_{\Omega \setminus B_r^+(0)} \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} \\ &\leq \left( \int_{B_r^+(0)} \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} + \left( \int_{\Omega \setminus B_r^+(0)} \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} \\ &= J_1 + J_2. \end{aligned}$$

For  $u \in H^1(B_r^+(0))$ ,  $\tilde{u} \in H^1(B_r(0))$  is defined by the even reflection for the direction  $x_N$ , that is,

$$\tilde{u}(x', x_N) := \begin{cases} u(x', x_N) & \text{if } 0 \leq x_N < r \\ u(x', x_N) & \text{if } -r < x_N < 0. \end{cases}$$

Concerning  $J_1$ , by Lemma 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= \left( \int_{B_r^+(0)} \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} \left( \int_{B_r(0)} \frac{|\tilde{u}|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} \\ &\leq \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} \mu_s^{-1} \left( \int_{B_r(0)} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2 dx + C_1 \int_{B_r(0)} \tilde{u}^2 dx \right) \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} \mu_s^{-1} \cdot 2 \left( \int_{B_r^+(0)} |\nabla u|^2 dx + C_1 \int_{B_r^+(0)} u^2 dx \right) \\ &= \left( \frac{\mu_s}{2^{\frac{2-s}{N-s}}} \right)^{-1} \left( \int_{B_r^+(0)} |\nabla u|^2 dx + C_1 \int_{B_r^+(0)} u^2 dx \right) \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant  $C_1$  depends on only  $B_r(0)$ .

Next, we estimate  $J_2$ . Let  $\delta > 0$  for sufficiently small. We consider  $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^m$  a partition of unity on  $\overline{\Omega \setminus B_r^+(0)}$  such that  $\phi_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \in C^1$  and  $|\text{supp}\phi_i| \leq \delta$  for all  $i$ . Since  $|x|^{-s} \leq r^{-s}$  for  $x \in \Omega \setminus B_r^+(0)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &= \left( \int_{\Omega \setminus B_r^+(0)} \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \int_{\Omega \setminus B_r^+(0)} \frac{|\phi_i^{\frac{1}{2}} u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} \\ &\leq r^{-\frac{2s}{2^*(s)}} \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \int_{\Omega \setminus B_r^+(0)} |\phi_i^{\frac{1}{2}} u|^{2^*(s)} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}}. \end{aligned}$$

By Hölder inequalities it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_{\Omega \setminus B_r^+(0)} |\phi_i^{\frac{1}{2}} u|^{2^*(s)} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} &\leq |\text{supp} \phi_i|^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)} - \frac{2}{2^*}} \|\phi_i^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L^{2^*}(\Omega \setminus B_r^+(0))}^2 \\ &\leq \delta^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)} - \frac{2}{2^*}} \|\phi_i^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L^{2^*}(\Omega \setminus B_r^+(0))}^2 \end{aligned}$$

for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\delta$  is sufficiently small, by using the Sobolev inequalities (If necessary we use the Sobolev inequalities of mixed boundary condition version.) we have

$$J_2 \leq \left( \frac{\mu_s}{2^{\frac{2-s}{N-s}}} \right)^{-1} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\Omega \setminus B_r^+(0)} |\nabla(\phi_i^{\frac{1}{2}} u)|^2 dx.$$

Consequently we have

$$J_2 \leq \left( \frac{\mu_s}{2^{\frac{2-s}{N-s}}} \right)^{-1} \left( \int_{\Omega \setminus B_r^+(0)} |\nabla u|^2 dx + C_2 \int_{\Omega \setminus B_r^+(0)} u^2 dx \right).$$

for some positive constant  $C_2$  depends on only  $\Omega \setminus B_r^+(\Omega)$ . Combining the estimates of  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  we obtain

$$\left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} \leq J_1 + J_2 \leq \left( \frac{\mu_s}{2^{\frac{2-s}{N-s}}} \right)^{-1} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx + C \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx \right)$$

for some positive constant  $C$  depends on  $\Omega$ .

**Acknowledgements** The author would like to thank to Prof. Futoshi Takahashi for the suggestion of the problem in this paper and for helpful advices on this manuscript.

## References

1. T. Aubin, Problemes isoperimetriques et espaces de Sobolev. *J. Differential Geometry* 11 (1976), no. 4, 573-598.
2. H. Brezis, J. L. Vazquez, Blow-up solutions of some nonlinear elliptic problems. *Rev. Mat. Univ. Complut. Madrid* 10 (1997), no. 2, 443-469.
3. H. Brezis, E. Lieb, A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 88 (1983), no. 3, 486-490.
4. H. Egnell, Positive solutions of semilinear equations in cones. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 330 (1992), no. 1, 191-201.
5. N. Ghoussoub, X. S. Kang, Hardy-Sobolev critical elliptic equations with boundary singularities. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 21 (2004), no. 6, 767-793.
6. N. Ghoussoub, F. Robert, Concentration estimates for Emden-Fowler equations with boundary singularities and critical growth. *IMRP Int. Math. Res. Pap.* 2006, 21867, 1-85.
7. N. Ghoussoub, F. Robert, Elliptic equations with critical growth and a large set of boundary singularities. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 361 (2009), no. 9, 4843-4870.
8. N. Ghoussoub, F. Robert, The effect of curvature on the best constant in the Hardy-Sobolev inequalities. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 16 (2006), no. 6, 1201-1245.
9. N. Ghoussoub, C. Yuan, Multiple solutions for quasi-linear PDEs involving the critical Sobolev and Hardy exponents. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 352 (2000), no. 12, 5703-5743.
10. C-H. Hsia, C-S. Lin, H. Wadade, Revisiting an idea of Brezis and Nirenberg. (English summary) *J. Funct. Anal.* 259 (2010), no. 7, 1816-1849.
11. H. Jaber, Hardy-Sobolev equations on compact Riemannian manifolds. (English summary) *Nonlinear Anal.* 103 (2014), 39-54.

12. H. Jaber, Optimal Hardy-Sobolev inequalities on compact Riemannian manifolds. (English summary) *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 421 (2015), no. 2, 1869-1888.
13. E. Lieb, Sharp constants in the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev and related inequalities. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 118 (1983), no. 2, 349-374.
14. C-S. Lin, H. Wadade, Minimizing problems for the Hardy-Sobolev type inequality with the singularity on the boundary. *Tohoku Math. J. (2)* 64 (2012), no. 1, 79-103.
15. C-S. Lin, H. Wadade, On the attainability for the best constant of the Sobolev-Hardy type inequality. *RIMS Kôkyûroku* 1740 (2011), 141-157.
16. G. Talenti, Best constant in Sobolev inequality. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)* 110 (1976), 353-372.
17. X. J. Wang, Neumann problems of semilinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents. *J. Differential Equations* 93 (1991), no. 2, 283-310.