

ON WEAKLY REFLECTIVE SUBMANIFOLDS IN COMPACT ISOTROPY IRREDUCIBLE RIEMANNIAN HOMOGENEOUS SPACES

MASAHIRO MORIMOTO

ABSTRACT. We show that for any weakly reflective submanifold of a compact isotropy irreducible Riemannian homogeneous space its inverse image under the parallel transport map is an infinite dimensional weakly reflective PF submanifold of a Hilbert space. This is an extension of the author's previous result in the case of compact irreducible Riemannian symmetric spaces. We also give a characterization of so obtained weakly reflective PF submanifolds.

INTRODUCTION

A submanifold N immersed in a Riemannian manifold M is called *weakly reflective* ([3]) if for each normal vector ξ at each $p \in N$ there exists an isometry ν_ξ of M satisfying the conditions $\nu_\xi(p) = p$, $d\nu_\xi(\xi) = -\xi$ and $\nu_\xi(N) = N$. We call such an isometry ν_ξ a reflection with respect to ξ . If every ν_ξ can be chosen from a particular subgroup S of the isometry group $I(M)$ then we call N *S -weakly reflective*. By definition weakly reflective submanifolds are *austere* ([2]): for each normal vector ξ the set of eigenvalues with multiplicities of the shape operator A_ξ is invariant under the multiplication by (-1) . Thus weakly reflective submanifolds are minimal submanifolds. A singular orbit of a cohomogeneity one action is a typical example of a weakly reflective submanifold ([8], [3]). It is an interesting problem to determine weakly reflective orbits in an isometric action of a Lie group (e.g. [3], [1]).

Recently the author [5] introduced the concept of weakly reflective submanifolds into a class of proper Fredholm (PF) submanifolds in Hilbert spaces (Terng [10]) and showed that if N is a weakly reflective submanifold of an irreducible Riemannian symmetric space G/K of compact type then its inverse image $\Phi_K^{-1}(N)$ under the parallel transport map $\Phi_K : V_{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow G/K$ ([12]) is an infinite dimensional weakly reflective PF submanifold of the Hilbert space $V_{\mathfrak{g}} := L^2([0, 1], \mathfrak{g})$ consisting of all L^2 -paths from $[0, 1]$ to the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G ([5, Theorem 8]). Using this result many examples of infinite dimensional weakly reflective PF submanifolds were obtained from finite dimensional weakly reflective submanifolds in G/K . The purpose of this paper is to extend that result to the case G/K is a compact isotropy irreducible Riemannian homogeneous space and to give a characterization of so obtained weakly reflective PF submanifolds in terms of S -weak reflectivity defined above. The results are summarized in Section 2. Their proofs are given in Section 3. To do these, in

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C40.

Key words and phrases. weakly reflective submanifold, minimal submanifold, isotropy irreducible, PF submanifolds in Hilbert spaces.

The author was partly supported by the Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Research Fellow (No.18J14857) and by Osaka City University Advanced Mathematical Institute (MEXT Joint Usage/Research Center on Mathematics and Theoretical Physics).

Section 1 we prepare the setting of $P(G, H)$ -actions and the parallel transport map over a compact Lie group G which is *not* necessarily connected. This generalized setting is important in the formulation of our results.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Let G be a compact Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Denote by G_0 its identity component. Choose an $\text{Ad}(G)$ -invariant inner product of \mathfrak{g} and equip the corresponding bi-invariant inner product with G . Denote by $\mathcal{G} := H^1([0, 1], G)$ the Hilbert Lie group of all Sobolev H^1 -paths from $[0, 1]$ to G and by $V_{\mathfrak{g}} := L^2([0, 1], \mathfrak{g})$ the Hilbert space of all L^2 -paths from $[0, 1]$ to \mathfrak{g} . For each $a \in G$ (resp. $x \in \mathfrak{g}$) we denote by $\hat{a} \in \mathcal{G}$ (resp. $\hat{x} \in V_{\mathfrak{g}}$) the constant path which values at a (resp. x). Define the \mathcal{G} -action on $V_{\mathfrak{g}}$ via the gauge transformations:

$$(1.1) \quad g * u := gug^{-1} - g'g^{-1}, \quad g \in \mathcal{G}, \quad u \in V_{\mathfrak{g}},$$

where g' denotes the weak derivative of g . We know that this action is isometric, transitive, proper and Fredholm ([7, Theorem 5.8.1]).

Let H be a closed subgroup of $G \times G$. Then H acts on G isometrically by

$$(1.2) \quad (b_1, b_2) \cdot a := b_1 a b_2^{-1}, \quad a, b_1, b_2 \in G.$$

Define a Lie subgroup $P(G, H)$ of \mathcal{G} by

$$P(G, H) := \{g \in \mathcal{G} \mid (g(0), g(1)) \in H\}.$$

The induced action of $P(G, H)$ on $V_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is called the $P(G, H)$ -action. We know that the $P(G, H)$ -action is isometric, proper and Fredholm ([11, p. 132]).

The *parallel transport map* ([4], [11]) $\Phi : V_{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow G_0$ is a Riemannian submersion defined by

$$\Phi(u) := E_u(1), \quad u \in V_{\mathfrak{g}},$$

where $E_u \in \mathcal{G}$ is the unique solution to the linear ordinary differential equation

$$\begin{cases} E_u^{-1} E'_u = u, \\ E_u(0) = e. \end{cases}$$

Let H be a closed subgroup of $G_0 \times G_0$. Then it follows ([11, Proposition 1.1]) that for each $g \in P(G_0, H)$ and $u \in V_{\mathfrak{g}}$

$$(1.3) \quad \Phi(g * u) = (g(0), g(1)) \cdot \Phi(u) \quad \text{and} \quad P(G_0, H) * u = \Phi^{-1}(H \cdot \Phi(u)).$$

In general, if N is a closed submanifold of G_0 then the inverse image $\Phi^{-1}(N)$ is a PF submanifold of $V_{\mathfrak{g}}$ ([12, Lemma 5.1]).

Let K be a closed subgroup of G_0 with Lie algebra \mathfrak{k} . Denote by $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{m}$ the orthogonal direct sum decomposition. Restricting the $\text{Ad}(G)$ -invariant inner product of \mathfrak{g} to \mathfrak{m} we equip the induced G_0 -invariant Riemannian metric with the homogeneous space G_0/K . We call such a metric the normal homogeneous metric and G_0/K the compact normal homogeneous space. Denote by $\pi : G_0 \rightarrow G_0/K$ the natural projection, which is a Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fiber. The *parallel transport map* Φ_K over G_0/K is a Riemannian submersion defined by

$$(1.4) \quad \Phi_K := \pi \circ \Phi : V_{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow G_0/K.$$

2. RESULTS

Let M be a compact Riemannian homogeneous space, that is, the group of isometries $G := I(M)$ is compact and the identity component $G_0 := I_0(M)$ acts transitively on M . Fix $p \in M$ and denote by $K := I_0(M)_p$ the isotropy subgroup of G_0 at $p \in M$. Then we have a diffeomorphism $G_0/K \cong M$. Choose an inner product of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} which is invariant not only under $\text{Ad}(G_0)$ but also under $\text{Ad}(G)$. We equip the corresponding bi-invariant metric with G_0 and the normal homogeneous metric with G_0/K . Here note that M and G_0/K are *not* isometric in general. To avoid this we suppose that M is *isotropy irreducible*: the linear isotropy representation of K on the tangent space $T_p M$ is \mathbb{R} -irreducible. From this condition the G_0 -invariant Riemannian metric on G_0/K is unique up to scaling and thus we can (and will) always choose an $\text{Ad}(G)$ -invariant inner product of \mathfrak{g} so that the normal homogeneous space G_0/K is isometric to M . For the geometry, structure and the classification of isotropy irreducible homogeneous spaces, see [13], [14] and [15].

The following theorem is the main result of this paper:

Theorem 1. *Let M be a compact isotropy irreducible Riemannian homogeneous space and N a closed submanifold of M . Denote by G the group of isometries on M , by G_0 its identity component, by K the isotropy subgroup of G_0 at a fixed $p \in M$ and by $\Phi_K = \pi \circ \Phi : V_{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow G_0/K = M$ the parallel transport map. Then for a closed subgroup S of G satisfying $aSa^{-1} = S$ for all $a \in G_0$ the following are equivalent:*

- (i) N is an S -weakly reflective submanifold of M ,
- (ii) $\pi^{-1}(N)$ is an $(S \times S_p)$ -weakly reflective submanifold of G_0 ,
- (iii) $\Phi_K^{-1}(N)$ is a $P(S, S \times S_p)$ -weakly reflective PF submanifold of $V_{\mathfrak{g}}$,

where S_p denotes the isotropy subgroup of S at p .

Remark 1. Strictly speaking “ $(S \times S_p)$ -weakly reflective” in the statement (ii) above should be written by “ $((S \times S_p) \cap I(G_0))$ -weakly reflective” because not all elements of $(S \times S_p)$ preserve G_0 and induce isometries on G_0 . However we will continue to use such an abbreviation for simplicity.

Remark 2. In the previous paper [5, Theorem 8] M was assumed to be a symmetric space of compact type and only the case $S = G$ was considered. Theorem 1 here does not require such assumptions and moreover it characterizes the weakly reflective PF submanifold $\Phi_K^{-1}(N)$ precisely.

Considering the case $S = G$ we obtain:

Corollary 1. *Let $M = G_0/K$ and N be as above. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) N is a weakly reflective submanifold of M ,
- (ii) $\pi^{-1}(N)$ is a $(G \times G_p)$ -weakly reflective submanifold of G_0 ,
- (iii) $\Phi_K^{-1}(N)$ is a $P(G, G \times G_p)$ -weakly reflective PF submanifold of $V_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Considering the case $S = G_0$ we obtain:

Corollary 2. *Let $M = G_0/K$ and N be as above. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) N is a G_0 -weakly reflective submanifold of M ,
- (ii) $\pi^{-1}(N)$ is a $(G_0 \times K)$ -weakly reflective submanifold of G_0 ,
- (iii) $\Phi_K^{-1}(N)$ is a $P(G_0, G_0 \times K)$ -weakly reflective PF submanifold of $V_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Next we suppose a certain homogeneous condition for N and the condition $S \subset G_0$. However we do not suppose that S satisfies $aSa^{-1} = S$ for all $a \in G_0$:

Theorem 2. *Let $M = G_0/K$ and N be as in Theorem 1. Suppose that N is an orbit of a closed subgroup U of G_0 . Then for a closed subgroup S of G_0 satisfying $aSa^{-1} = S$ for all $a \in U$ the following are equivalent:*

- (i) N is an S -weakly reflective submanifold of M ,
- (ii) $\pi^{-1}(N)$ is an $(S \times K)$ -weakly reflective submanifold of G_0 ,
- (iii) $\Phi_K^{-1}(N)$ is a $P(G_0, S \times K)$ -weakly reflective PF submanifold of $V_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Considering the case $S = U$ we obtain:

Corollary 3. *Let $M = G_0/K$, N and U be as in Theorem 2. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) N is an U -weakly reflective submanifold of M ,
- (ii) $\pi^{-1}(N)$ is an $(U \times K)$ -weakly reflective submanifold of G_0 ,
- (iii) $\Phi_K^{-1}(N)$ is a $P(G_0, U \times K)$ -weakly reflective PF submanifold of $V_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Considering the case $S = U_0$ we obtain:

Corollary 4. *Let $M = G_0/K$, N and U be as in Theorem 2. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) N is an U_0 -weakly reflective submanifold of M ,
- (ii) $\pi^{-1}(N)$ is an $(U_0 \times K)$ -weakly reflective submanifold of G_0 ,
- (iii) $\Phi_K^{-1}(N)$ is a $P(G_0, U_0 \times K)$ -weakly reflective PF submanifold of $V_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Note that if N is an orbit $U \cdot aK$ through $aK \in G_0/K$ then we have $\pi^{-1}(N) = (U \times K) \cdot a$ and $\Phi_K^{-1}(N) = P(G_0, U \times K) * u$ for $u \in \Phi^{-1}(a)$ by (1.3). Applying above results to examples of weakly reflective submanifolds in M we obtain examples of infinite dimensional weakly reflective PF submanifolds in Hilbert spaces as follows:

Example 1. Let $M = G_0/K$ be as above and U a closed subgroup of G_0 . Suppose that the U -action on M is of cohomogeneity one. Then any singular orbit $N = U \cdot aK$ is a U -weakly reflective submanifold of M ([8], [3]). Applying Corollary 3 to N the orbit $P(G_0, U \times K) * u$ through $u \in \Phi^{-1}(a)$ is a $P(G_0, U \times K)$ -weakly reflective PF submanifold of $V_{\mathfrak{g}}$. In particular if $\text{codim } N \geq 2$ it was essentially shown that N is a U_0 -weakly reflective submanifold of M . Then by Corollary 4 the orbit $P(G_0, U \times K) * u$ is a $P(G_0, U_0 \times K)$ -weakly reflective PF submanifold of $V_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Example 2. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$. Set $M := S^{2n-1}(\sqrt{2}) \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and $N := S^{n-1}(1) \times S^{n-1}(1) \subset M$. It was shown ([3]) that N is an $O(2n)$ -weakly reflective submanifold of M . Fix $p \in N$. Set $(G_0, K) := (SO(2n), SO(2n)_p)$ and $U := SO(n) \times SO(n)$ so that $M = G_0/K$ and $N = U \cdot eK$. Applying Corollary 1 to N the orbit $P(G_0, U \times K) * \hat{0}$ is a $P(O(2n), O(2n) \times O(2n)_p)$ -weakly reflective PF submanifold of $V_{\mathfrak{g}}$. In particular if n is even then it was essentially shown that N is an $SO(2n)$ -weakly reflective submanifold of M . Then by Corollary 2 the orbit $P(G_0, U \times K) * \hat{0}$ is a $P(SO(2n), SO(2n) \times SO(2n)_p)$ -weakly reflective PF submanifold of $V_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Example 3. Consider the symmetric pair $(SO(7), SO(3) \times SO(4))$ and the cohomogeneity one action of the exceptional Lie group G_2 on the symmetric space $M = SO(7)/(SO(3) \times SO(4))$. Then there exists a unique weakly reflective principal orbit $N = G_2 \cdot aK$, which was shown to be $O(7)$ -weakly reflective ([1]). Applying

Theorem 1 to N the orbit $P(SO(7), G_2 \times SO(3) \times SO(4)) * u$ through $u \in \Phi^{-1}(a)$ is a $P(O(7), O(7) \times O(3) \times O(4))$ -weakly reflective PF submanifold of $V_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Remark 3. Recently Taketomi [9] introduced a generalized concept of weakly reflective submanifolds, namely arid submanifolds. The theorems and corollaries in this section are still valid in the arid case (see also [6]).

3. PROOFS OF THE THEOREMS

To prove the theorems we first recall several facts. Let G be a compact Lie group with a bi-invariant Riemannian metric and $\Phi : V_{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow G_0$ the parallel transport map. We know that the $P(G_0, G_0 \times \{e\})$ -action on $V_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is simply transitive ([12, Corollary 4.2]). By (1.3) the following diagram commutes for each $g \in P(G_0, G_0 \times \{e\})$:

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} V_{\mathfrak{g}} & \xrightarrow{g^*} & V_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ \Phi \downarrow & & \Phi \downarrow \\ G_0 & \xrightarrow{(g(0), e)} & G_0. \end{array}$$

Let K be a closed subgroup of G_0 and G_0/K the compact normal homogeneous space with projection $\pi : G_0 \rightarrow G_0/K$. For each $a \in G_0$ we denote by l_a the left translation by a and L_a the isometry on G_0/K defined by $L_a(bK) := (ab)K$. Then we have the commutative diagram:

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} G_0 & \xrightarrow{l_a} & G_0 \\ \pi \downarrow & & \pi \downarrow \\ G_0/K & \xrightarrow{L_a} & G_0/K. \end{array}$$

The following lemma was shown in [5, Lemma 2].

Lemma ([5]). *Let \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{B} be Riemannian Hilbert manifolds, $\phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ a Riemannian submersion and N a closed submanifold of \mathcal{B} . Fix $p \in \phi^{-1}(N)$ and $X \in T_p^\perp \phi^{-1}(N)$. Suppose that $\nu_{\mathcal{M}}$ is an isometry of \mathcal{M} fixing p , that $\nu_{\mathcal{B}}$ is an isometry of \mathcal{B} fixing $\phi(p)$ and that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{\nu_{\mathcal{M}}} & \mathcal{M} \\ \phi \downarrow & & \phi \downarrow \\ \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{\nu_{\mathcal{B}}} & \mathcal{B} \end{array}$$

commutes. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) $\nu_{\mathcal{M}}$ satisfies $\nu_{\mathcal{M}}(\phi^{-1}(N)) = \phi^{-1}(N)$ and $d\nu_{\mathcal{M}}(X) = -X$,
- (ii) $\nu_{\mathcal{B}}$ satisfies $\nu_{\mathcal{B}}(N) = N$ and $d\nu_{\mathcal{B}}(d\phi(X)) = -d\phi(X)$.

We are now in position to prove the theorems.

Proof of Theorem 1. By identification $M = G_0/K$ we have $p = eK$. From the assumption, for each $a \in G_0$ and each $g \in P(G_0, G_0 \times \{e\})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} a^{-1}S_{aK}a &= S_{eK}, & (a, e)^{-1}(S \times S_{eK})_a(a, e) &= (S \times S_{eK})_e = \Delta(S_{eK}) \quad \text{and} \\ g^{-1}P(S, S \times S_{eK})_{g^*\hat{0}}g &= P(S, S \times S_{eK})_{\hat{0}} = \{\hat{s} \in \hat{S} \mid s \in S_{eK}\}. \end{aligned}$$

“(i) \Rightarrow (ii)”: Let $a \in \pi^{-1}(N)$ and $w \in T_a^\perp \pi^{-1}(N)$. Set $\eta := d\pi(w) \in T_{aK}^\perp N$, $N' := L_a^{-1}(N)$ and $\xi := dL_a^{-1}(\eta) \in T_{eK}^\perp N'$. Denote by $v \in T_e^\perp \pi^{-1}(N')$ the horizontal

lift of ξ . From (3.2) we have $l_a(\pi^{-1}(N')) = \pi^{-1}(N)$ and $dl_a(v) = w$. Thus to show the existence of a reflection ν_w with respect to w satisfying $\nu_w \in (S \times S_{eK})_a$ it suffices to construct an reflection ν_v with respect to v satisfying $\nu_v \in (S \times S_{eK})_e$. Take a reflection ν_η with respect to η satisfying $\nu_\eta \in S_{aK}$. Define a reflection ν_ξ with respect to ξ by $\nu_\xi := L_a^{-1} \circ \nu_\eta \circ L_a$. Then $\nu_\xi \in S_{eK}$. Since G_0 is a normal subgroup of G an automorphism $\nu_v : G_0 \rightarrow G_0$, $b \mapsto \nu_v(b)$ is well-defined by

$$\nu_v(b) := \nu_\xi \circ b \circ \nu_\xi^{-1},$$

that is,

$$\nu_v = \text{Ad}^G(\nu_\xi)|_{G_0}.$$

Clearly $\nu_v \in (S \times S_{eK})_e$. Note that ν_v is an isometry of G_0 because we fixed a bi-invariant Riemannian metric on G_0 coming from an $\text{Ad}(G)$ -invariant inner product of \mathfrak{g} . Moreover we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\nu_\xi \circ \pi)(b) &= \nu_\xi(bK) = (\nu_\xi \circ b)(eK) = (\nu_\xi \circ b \circ \nu_\xi^{-1})(eK) \quad \text{and} \\ (\pi \circ \nu_v)(b) &= \nu_v(b)K = \nu_v(b)(eK) = (\nu_\xi \circ b \circ \nu_\xi^{-1})(eK) \end{aligned}$$

for all $b \in G_0$. This shows that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_0 & \xrightarrow{\nu_v} & G_0 \\ \pi \downarrow & & \pi \downarrow \\ G_0/K & \xrightarrow{\nu_\xi} & G_0/K \end{array}$$

commutes. Thus by Lemma, ν_v is a reflection with respect to v . This shows (ii).

“(ii) \Rightarrow (i)” : Let $aK \in N$ and $\eta \in T_{aK}^\perp N$. Denote by $w \in T_a^\perp \pi^{-1}(N)$ the horizontal lift of η . Define N' , ξ , v as above. Take a reflection ν_w with respect to w satisfying $\nu_w \in (S \times S_{eK})_a$. Then a reflection with respect to v is defined by $\nu_v := (a, e)^{-1} \circ \nu_w \circ (a, e)$ so that $\nu_v \in (S \times S_{eK})_e$. Thus there exists $s \in S_{eK}$ such that $\nu_v = (s, s)$. Define an isometry ν_ξ of G_0/K by $\nu_\xi := s$. Then by Lemma, ν_ξ is a reflection with respect to ξ satisfying $\nu_\xi \in S_{eK}$. Hence a reflection ν_η with respect to η is defined by $\nu_\eta := l_a \circ \nu_\xi \circ l_a^{-1}$ so that $\nu_\eta \in S_{aK}$ and (i) follows.

“(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)” : Set $Q := \pi^{-1}(N)$ so that $\Phi_K^{-1}(N) = \Phi^{-1}(Q)$. Let $u \in \Phi^{-1}(Q)$ and $X \in T_u^\perp \Phi^{-1}(Q)$. Take $g \in P(G_0, G_0 \times \{e\})$ so that $u = g * \hat{0}$. Set $a := \Phi(u) = g(0)$, $w := d\Phi(X) \in T_a^\perp Q$, $Q' := a^{-1}Q$ and $v := dl_a^{-1}(w) \in T_e^\perp Q'$. Denote by $\hat{v} \in T_{\hat{0}}^\perp \Phi^{-1}(Q')$ the horizontal lift of v . From (3.1) we have $g * (\Phi^{-1}(Q')) = \Phi^{-1}(Q)$ and $(dg*)\hat{v} = X$. Thus to show the existence of a reflection ν_X with respect to X satisfying $\nu_X \in P(S, S \times S_{eK})_u$ it suffices to construct a reflection $\nu_{\hat{v}}$ with respect to \hat{v} satisfying $\nu_{\hat{v}} \in P(S, S \times S_{eK})_{\hat{0}}$. Take a reflection ν_w with respect to w satisfying $\nu_w \in (S \times S_{eK})_a$. Then a reflection ν_v with respect to v is defined by $\nu_v := (a, e)^{-1} \circ \nu_w \circ (a, e)$ so that $\nu_v \in (S \times S_{eK})_e$. Thus there exists $s \in S_{eK}$ so that $\nu_v = (s, s)$. We define a linear orthogonal transformation $\nu_{\hat{v}}$ of $V_{\hat{g}}$ by

$$\nu_{\hat{v}}(u) := d\nu_v \circ u = sus^{-1} = \hat{s} * u, \quad u \in V_{\hat{g}}.$$

Clearly $\nu_{\hat{v}} \in P(S, S \times S_{eK})_{\hat{0}}$. Moreover since ν_v is an automorphism of G_0 we have $\nu_{\hat{v}}(g * \hat{0}) = (\nu_v \circ g) * \hat{0}$ for all $g \in P(G_0, G_0 \times G_0)$. This together with (1.3) implies

that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_{\mathfrak{g}} & \xrightarrow{\nu_{\hat{v}}} & V_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ \Phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \Phi \\ G_0 & \xrightarrow{\nu_v} & G_0. \end{array}$$

From Lemma $\nu_{\hat{v}}$ is a reflection with respect to \hat{v} . This shows (iii).

“(iii) \Rightarrow (ii)”: Let $a \in Q$, $w \in T_a^\perp Q$ and $u \in \Phi^{-1}(a)$. Denote by $X \in T_u^\perp \Phi^{-1}(Q)$ the horizontal lift of w . Take $g \in P(G_0, G_0 \times \{e\})$ so that $g * \hat{0} = u$ and define Q' , v , \hat{v} as above. Take a reflection ν_X with respect to X satisfying $\nu_X \in P(S, S \times S_{eK})_u$. Then a reflection $\nu_{\hat{v}}$ with respect to $\hat{v} \in T_{\hat{0}}^\perp \Phi^{-1}(Q')$ is defined by $\nu_{\hat{v}} := (g*)^{-1} \circ \nu_X \circ (g*)$ so that $\nu_v \in P(S, S \times S_{eK})_{\hat{0}}$. Thus there exists $s \in S_{eK}$ such that $\nu_{\hat{v}} = \hat{s}$. Define an isometry ν_v of G_0 by $\nu_v := (s, s)$. From Lemma, ν_v is a reflection with respect to v satisfying $\nu_v \in (S \times S_{eK})_e$. Thus a reflection ν_w with respect to w is defined by $\nu_w := l_a \circ \nu_v \circ l_a^{-1}$ so that $\nu_w \in (S \times S_{eK})_a$. This shows (ii). \square

Proof of Theorem 2. Take $b \in \pi^{-1}(N)$ and $u \in \Phi^{-1}(b)$. Then $N = U \cdot bK$, $\pi^{-1}(N) = (U \times K) \cdot b$ and $\Phi_K^{-1}(N) = P(G_0, U \times K) * u$. Choose $g \in P(G_0, G_0 \times \{e\})$ so that $u = g * \hat{0}$. Then $b = \Phi(u) = g(0)$. Set $U' := b^{-1}Ub$. By (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_b(U' \cdot eK) &= U \cdot bK, & l_b((U' \times K) \cdot e) &= (U \times K) \cdot b \quad \text{and} \\ g * (P(G_0, U' \times K) * \hat{0}) &= P(G_0, U \times K) * u. \end{aligned}$$

Set $S' := b^{-1}Sb$. Then $a'S'(a')^{-1} = S'$ for all $a' \in U'$. By definition we have

$$\begin{aligned} bS'b^{-1} &= S, & (b, e)(S' \times K)(b, e)^{-1} &= S \times K \quad \text{and} \\ gP(G_0, S' \times K)g^{-1} &= P(G_0, S \times K). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can assume $b = e$ without loss of generality. Since $S \subset G_0$ we have $S \cap K = S_{eK}$. Thus by the assumption, for each $a \in U$, $k \in K$ and $h \in P(G_0, U \times K)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} a^{-1}S_aKa &= S_{eK}, & (a, k)^{-1}(S \times K)_{(a, k) \cdot e}(a, k) &= (S \times K)_e = \Delta(S_{eK}) \quad \text{and} \\ h^{-1}P(G_0, S \times K)_{h * \hat{0}}h &= P(G_0, S \times K)_{\hat{0}} = \{\hat{s} \in \hat{S} \mid s \in S_{eK}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by homogeneity it suffices to consider normal vectors only at $eK \in G_0/K$, $e \in G_0$ and $\hat{0} \in V_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Take $\xi \in T_{eK}^\perp(U \cdot eK)$. Denote by $v \in T_e^\perp((U \times K) \cdot e)$ the horizontal lift of ξ and by $\hat{v} \in T_{\hat{0}}^\perp(P(G_0, U \times K) * \hat{0})$ the horizontal lift of v . By similar arguments as in the proof of Theorem 1 we can construct a reflection ν_v from ν_ξ and a reflection $\nu_{\hat{v}}$ from ν_ξ , and vice versa. These show that the statements (i), (ii) and (iii) in Theorem 2 are equivalent and our claim follows. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank Professors Ernst Heintze and Takashi Sakai for helpful discussions and invaluable suggestions. The author is also grateful to Professor Jost-Hinrich Eschenburg for useful discussions and to Professor Peter Quast for his hospitality during the author's visit to the University of Augsburg. Thanks are also due to Professors Naoyuki Koike and Hiroshi Tamaru for their interests in his work and valuable comments. Finally the author would like to thank Professor Yoshihiro Ohnita for useful discussions and constant encouragement.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Enoyoshi, *Principal curvatures of homogeneous hypersurfaces in a Grassmann manifold $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_3(\text{Im } \mathbb{O})$ by the G_2 -action*, to appear in Tokyo J. Math.
- [2] R. Harvey, H. B. Lawson, Jr., *Calibrated geometries*, Acta Math., **148** (1982), 47-157.
- [3] O. Ikawa, T. Sakai, H. Tasaki, *Weakly reflective submanifolds and austere submanifolds*. J. Math. Soc. Japan **61** (2009), no. 2, 437-481.
- [4] C. King, C.-L. Terng, *Minimal submanifolds in path space*, Global analysis in modern mathematics, 253-281, Publish or Perish, Houston, TX, 1993.
- [5] M. Morimoto, *On weakly reflective PF submanifolds in Hilbert spaces*, to appear in Tokyo J. Math.
- [6] M. Morimoto, *Austere and arid properties for PF submanifolds in Hilbert spaces*, to appear in Differential Geometry and its Applications.
- [7] R. S. Palais, C.-L. Terng, *Critical Point Theory and Submanifold Geometry*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol 1353, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1988.
- [8] F. Podestà, *Some remarks on austere submanifolds*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B (7) **11** (1997), no. 2, suppl., 157-160.
- [9] Y. Taketomi, *On a Riemannian submanifold whose slice representation has no nonzero fixed points*, Hiroshima Math. J. **48** (2018), no. 1, 1-20.
- [10] C.-L. Terng, *Proper Fredholm submanifolds of Hilbert space*. J. Differential Geom. **29** (1989), no. 1, 9-47.
- [11] C.-L. Terng, *Polar actions on Hilbert space*. J. Geom. Anal. **5** (1995), no. 1, 129-150.
- [12] C.-L. Terng, G. Thorbergsson, *Submanifold geometry in symmetric spaces*. J. Differential Geom. **42** (1995), no. 3, 665-718.
- [13] M. Wang, W. Ziller, *On isotropy irreducible Riemannian manifolds*, Acta Math. **166** (1991), no. 3-4, 223-261.
- [14] J. A. Wolf, *The geometry and structure of isotropy irreducible homogeneous spaces*, Acta Math. **120** (1968), 59-148.
- [15] J. A. Wolf, *Correction to: "The geometry and structure of isotropy irreducible homogeneous spaces"*, Acta Math. **152** (1984), no. 1-2, 141-142.

(M. Morimoto) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, OSAKA CITY UNIVERSITY

Email address: mmasahiro0408@gmail.com