

# EXISTENCE OF BLOWING-UP SOLUTIONS TO SOME SCHRÖDINGER EQUATIONS INCLUDING NONLINEAR AMPLIFICATION WITH SMALL INITIAL DATA

Naoyasu Kita

## Abstract

We consider the existence of blowing-up solutions to some Schrödinger equations including nonlinear amplification. The blow-up is considered in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Even though initial data are taken so small, there exists some solutions blowing-up in finite time. The theorem in this paper is an extension of Cazenave-Martel-Zhao's result [2] from the points of making the lower bound of power of nonlinearity extended and ensuring that blowing-up solutions exist even for small initial data.

## 1 Introduction and Main Result

We consider the Cauchy problem of a nonlinear Schrödinger equation:

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 u + (\lambda + i\kappa)|u|^{p-1}u, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where the complex-valued unknown function  $u = u(t, x)$  is defined on  $(t, x) \in [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^1$ . In the nonlinearity, the power satisfies  $2 < p \leq 3$  and the coefficients  $\lambda, \kappa \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfy

$$\kappa > 0, \quad (p-1)|\lambda| \leq 2\sqrt{p}\kappa. \quad (1.2)$$

In particular, the positivity of  $\kappa$  in (1.2) implies that the nonlinearity affects as an amplification. To see it, we refer to the idea of Zhang [3]. If the region of  $x$  is a bounded interval  $I$  and Dirichlet boundary condition is imposed, then it is easy to show that, for  $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $u_0 \neq 0$ , the solution to (1.1) blows up in finite time. In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\|u(t)\|_{L^2(I)}^2}{dt} &= 2\operatorname{Re}(u(t), \partial_t u(t))_{L^2(I)} \\ &= 2\kappa\|u(t)\|_{L^{p+1}(I)}^{p+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $(f, g)_{L^2(I)} = \int_I f(x)\overline{g(x)}dx$  is the usual  $L^2$ -inner product. Applying Hölder's inequality :  $|I|^{(p-1)/2}\|u(t)\|_{L^{p+1}(I)}^{p+1} \geq \|u(t)\|_{L^2(I)}^{p+1}$  where  $|I|$  denotes the size of the interval, we see that

$$\frac{d\|u(t)\|_{L^2(I)}^2}{dt} \geq 2\kappa|I|^{-(p-1)/2}\|u(t)\|_{L^2(I)}^{p+1}.$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35Q55; Secondary 35B40.

*Key Words and Phrases.* nonlinear Schrödinger equation, nonlinear amplification, blowing-up solution, small initial data

Solving this differential inequality, we have

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2(I)} \geq \frac{\|u_0\|_{L^2(I)}}{\left\{1 - \kappa(p-1)|I|^{-(p-1/2)}\|u_0\|_{L^2(I)}^{p-1}t\right\}^{1/(p-1)}},$$

and we know that  $\|u(t)\|_{L^2(I)}$  blows up in finite time. However, this kind of estimate holds only in the case that  $x$  belongs to the bounded interval. Once the region becomes unbounded, the dispersion associated with  $-\frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2$  will work so that the nonlinear amplification is suppressed, and it is difficult to presume that the nonlinear amplification surely generates a blowing-up solution. Actually when  $3 < p$  and  $u_0$  is sufficiently small in  $H^1(\mathbb{R})$  with  $xu_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  also small, the solution to (1.1) exists globally in time. This is because  $|u(t, x)|^{p-1} \sim Ct^{-(p-1)/2}$  is integrable for large  $t$ , and the nonlinearity does not affect to the behavior of the solution. This observation suggests that, if we expect the blow-up for a small initial data, it is necessary to assume  $p \leq 3$ .

Our goal is to obtain blowing-up solutions to (1.1) even though the smallness is assumed on the initial data.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $2 < p \leq 3$ . Also let  $\lambda$  and  $\kappa$  satisfy (1.2). Then, for any  $\rho > 0$ , there exists some initial data  $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  such that*

(i)  $\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} < \rho,$

(ii) *the solution  $u$  to (1.1) with  $u_0$  as the initial data satisfies*

$$\lim_{t \uparrow T^*} \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \infty \tag{1.3}$$

*for some  $T^* > 0$ .*

Theorem 1.1 asserts the existence of a blowing-up solution only for some special small initial data. It remains open whether any small initial data except for  $u_0 = 0$  give rise to the blow-up. In Theorem 1.1, the lower bound of  $p$  is required by the technical reason that the blowing-up profile must be integrable around the blowing-up time with respect to  $t$ . The upper bound of  $p$  is required to ensure the existence of blowing-up solution with small initial data. Precisely speaking, we will first construct a blowing-up profile, construct a solution to (1.1) which approaches to the profile while  $t \uparrow T^*$ , and extend it backward in time. In order to guarantee the decay of the solution in the negative time-direction, the assumption of  $p \leq 3$  is required.

The construction of a blowing-up solution to some Schrödinger equation with nonlinear source term was considered by Cazenave-Martel-Zhao [2]. They treated the  $N$ -dimensional nonlinear Schrödinger equation :

$$i\partial_t u = -\Delta u + i|u|^{p-1}u,$$

where  $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $\Delta = \sum_{j=1}^N \partial_{x_j}^2$ . In their idea, a profile  $\varphi(t, x)$  of the blowing-up solution was firstly determined, which is subject to the ODE :

$$i\partial_t \varphi(t, x) = i|\varphi|^{p-1}\varphi(t, x).$$

They employed, for instance,  $\varphi(t, x) = ((p-1)|t| + A|x|^k)^{-1/(p-1)}$  for some  $A, k > 0$ , which blows up at  $t = 0$ , and solve the nonlinear Schrödinger equation in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  by setting  $u(t, x) = \varphi(t, x) + v(t, x)$  with  $v(0, x) = 0$ . In [2], the blow up of small initial data was not considered. In their argument, the condition  $3 \leq p$  was assumed. We extend this restriction to  $2 < p$  by somewhat sophisticated nonlinear estimate as well as the coefficient of nonlinearity is generalized as in (1.2). We will not consider  $N$ -dimensional problem since the  $p$  must be restricted into  $p \leq 1 + 2/N$  and the  $\varphi(t, x) = O(|t|^{-1/(p-1)})$  shows a non-integrable singularity if  $N \geq 2$ .

## 2 Blowing-Up Profiles

We expect that the blow-up of the solutions is caused by the nonlinearity, and so the dispersion associated with  $-\frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2$  does not work so strongly just before the blowing-up time. This observation suggests that the blowing-up profile is subject to the ordinary differential equation :

$$i\partial_t\varphi(t, x) = (\lambda + i\kappa)|\varphi(t, x)|^{p-1}\varphi(t, x). \quad (2.1)$$

For (2.1), we impose an initial data  $\varphi(-1, x) = \varphi_{-1}(x)$  at each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\varphi_{-1}$  satisfies

(A) *The assumption on  $\varphi_{-1}$ :*

(A.1) The  $\varphi_{-1} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  is real valued.

(A.2)  $0 \leq \varphi_{-1}(x) \leq (\kappa(p-1))^{-1/(p-1)}$ .

(A.3)  $\varphi_{-1}(x) = (\kappa(p-1))^{-1/(p-1)}$  if and only if  $x = 0$ .

(A.4)  $\varphi_{-1}(x) = (\kappa(p-1))^{-1/(p-1)}(1 - x^{2N})^{1/(p-1)}$  for  $|x| < 1/2$ , where  $N > 0$  is sufficiently large integer.

(A.5)  $\varphi_{-1}(x) \leq \varphi_{-1}(1/2)$  for  $|x| \geq 1/2$ .

The ODE in (2.1) is easy to solve. In fact, by (2.1), we see that

$$\partial_t|\varphi(t, x)|^2 = 2\kappa|\varphi(t, x)|^{p+1},$$

which yields

$$\partial_t|\varphi(t, x)|^{-(p-1)} = -\kappa(p-1). \quad (2.2)$$

Integrating (2.2) from  $-1$  to  $t < 0$ , we have

$$|\varphi(t, x)| = \frac{|\varphi_{-1}(x)|}{\{1 - \kappa(p-1)|\varphi_{-1}(x)|^{p-1}(t+1)\}^{1/(p-1)}}. \quad (2.3)$$

Substitute (2.3) into the  $|\varphi(t, x)|^{p-1}$  on the right hand side of (2.1). Then we notice that it is a standard first order ODE of  $\varphi(t, x)$ , and we obtain

$$\varphi(t, x) = \varphi_{-1}(x) \{1 - \kappa(p-1)\varphi_{-1}^{p-1}(x)(t+1)\}^{(-1+i\frac{\lambda}{\kappa})\frac{1}{p-1}}. \quad (2.4)$$

We call  $\varphi(t, x)$  in (2.4) *the blowing-up profile*. By the assumption (A) on  $\varphi_{-1}$ , the  $\varphi(t, x)$  blows up at  $t = 0$ , and, precisely speaking,  $\lim_{t \uparrow 0} |\varphi(t, 0)| = \infty$  occurs but  $|\varphi(0, x)| < \infty$  for  $x \neq 0$ . The condition (A.4) suggests that the graph of  $\varphi_{-1}(x)$  is so flat around  $x = 0$ , which guarantees that the blowing-up rates of  $\partial_x \varphi(t, x)$  and higher derivatives do not violate the integrability with respect to  $t$  around  $t = 0$  when  $2 < p$ . We will see the detail on  $\varphi(t, x)$  in next lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\varphi_{-1}$  be such as defined in the assumption (A), and let  $j$  be an integer satisfying  $0 \leq j \leq N$ . Then there exist some  $C_j > 0$  such that the blowing-up profile (2.4) satisfies*

$$|\partial_x^j \varphi(t, x)| \leq C_j |t|^{-1/(p-1)-j/(2N)} \quad (2.5)$$

for any  $t \in (-1, 0)$ .

**Proof of Lemma 2.1.** It suffices to prove (2.5) for  $x \in (-1/2, 1/2)$ , since the blow-up takes place at  $x = 0$  first. By the assumption (A),  $\varphi_{-1}(x) = (\kappa(p-1))^{-1/(p-1)}(1 - x^{2N})^{1/(p-1)}$  if  $|x| < 1/2$ . Substitute it into (2.4). Then we have

$$\varphi(t, x) = (\kappa(p-1))^{-1/(p-1)}(1 - x^{2N})^{1/(p-1)} \{(t+1)x^{2N} - t\}^{(-1+i\frac{\lambda}{\kappa})\frac{1}{p-1}}.$$

Applying Leibniz' rule and regarding  $(1 - x^{2N})^{1/(p-1)} \sim 1 - \frac{1}{p-1}x^{2N}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_x^j \varphi(t, x)| &\leq C(1 - x^{2N})^{1/(p-1)} |\partial_x^j \{(t+1)x^{2N} - t\}^{(-1+i\frac{\lambda}{\kappa})\frac{1}{p-1}}| \\ &\quad + C \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} |x|^{2N-(j-k)} |\partial_x^k \{(t+1)x^{2N} - t\}^{(-1+i\frac{\lambda}{\kappa})\frac{1}{p-1}}|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Note that, for the first term of (2.6), the chain rule yields

$$\begin{aligned} &|\partial_x^j \{(t+1)x^{2N} - t\}^{(-1+i\frac{\lambda}{\kappa})\frac{1}{p-1}}| \\ &\leq C \sum_{\ell=1}^j \sum_{(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_\ell) \in S_{j,\ell}} |\partial_x^{\mu_1} x^{2N}| \cdot |\partial_x^{\mu_2} x^{2N}| \cdots |\partial_x^{\mu_\ell} x^{2N}| \cdot |(t+1)x^{2N} - t|^{-1/(p-1)-\ell} \\ &\leq C \sum_{\ell=1}^j |x|^{2N\ell-j} \cdot |(t+1)x^{2N} - t|^{-1/(p-1)-\ell}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $S_{j,\ell} = \{(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_\ell) \in \mathbb{N}^\ell; \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_\ell = j\}$ . We apply the similar estimate to the

second term of (2.6). Then we have, for  $x \in (-1/2, 1/2)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\partial_x^j \varphi(t, x)| &\leq C \sum_{\ell=1}^j |x|^{2N\ell-j} \cdot |(t+1)x^{2N} - t|^{-1/(p-1)-\ell} \\
&\quad + C \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} |x|^{2N-(j-k)} \sum_{\ell=1}^k |x|^{2N\ell-k} \cdot |(t+1)x^{2N} - t|^{-1/(p-1)-\ell} \\
&\quad + C |x|^{2N-j} \cdot |(t+1)x^{2N} - t|^{-1/(p-1)} \\
&= C \sum_{\ell=1}^j |x|^{2N\ell-j} \cdot |(t+1)x^{2N} - t|^{-1/(p-1)-\ell} \\
&\quad + C |x|^{2N} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^k |x|^{2N\ell-j} \cdot |(t+1)x^{2N} - t|^{-1/(p-1)-\ell} \\
&\quad + C |x|^{2N-j} \cdot |(t+1)x^{2N} - t|^{-1/(p-1)} \tag{2.7}
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $\xi = (t+1)x^{2N}/|t|$ . Then, from (2.7), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\partial_x^j \varphi(t, x)| &\leq C \sum_{\ell=1}^j \frac{|t|^{-1/(p-1)-j/(2N)}}{(t+1)^{\ell-j/(2N)}} \xi^{\ell-j/(2N)} (\xi+1)^{-1/(p-1)-\ell} \\
&\quad + C |x|^{2N} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^k \frac{|t|^{-1/(p-1)-j/(2N)}}{(t+1)^{\ell-j/(2N)}} \xi^{\ell-j/(2N)} (\xi+1)^{-1/(p-1)-\ell} \\
&\quad + C |x|^{2N-j} \cdot \frac{|t|^{-1/(p-1)}}{(t+1)^{-1/(p+1)}} (\xi+1)^{-1/(p-1)}. \tag{2.8}
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sup_{\xi \geq 0} \xi^{\ell-j/(2N)} (\xi+1)^{-1/(p-1)-\ell} < \infty$ , (2.8) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
|\partial_x^j \varphi(t, x)| &\leq C |t|^{-1/(p-1)-j/(2N)} + C |x|^{2N} \cdot |t|^{-1/(p-1)-j/(2N)} + C |x|^{2N-j} \cdot |t|^{-1/(p-1)} \\
&\leq C(1 + (1/2)^{2N} + (1/2)^{2N-j}) |t|^{-1/(p-1)-j/(2N)}. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

In the subsequent section, we will use a modified profiles  $\varphi_\nu(t, x) = \varphi(t-\nu, x)$  for  $\nu \in (0, 1]$  to consider approximate solutions around the blowing-up time. Applying the analogy in the proof of Lemma 2.1, we have some properties of  $\varphi_\nu(t, x)$ .

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $\varphi_{-1}$  be such as defined in the assumption (A). Let  $j$  be an integer satisfying  $0 \leq j \leq N$ , and  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ . Then there exist some  $C_j > 0$ ,  $C_{j,\varepsilon} > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$  independent of  $\nu, \nu' \in (0, 1]$  such that*

$$|\partial_x^j \varphi_\nu(t, x)| \leq C_j |t|^{-1/(p-1)-j/(2N)}, \tag{2.9}$$

$$|\partial_x^j (\varphi_\nu(t, x) - \varphi_{\nu'}(t, x))| \leq C_{j,\varepsilon} |t|^{-1/(p-1)-j/(2N)-\varepsilon} (\nu^\varepsilon + \nu'^\varepsilon) \tag{2.10}$$

for any  $t \in (-\delta, 0)$ .

**Proof of Corollary 2.2.** By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\partial_x^j \varphi_\nu(t, x)| &= |\partial_x^j \varphi(t - \nu, x)| \\
&\leq C |t - \nu|^{-1/(p-1)-j/(2N)} \\
&\leq C |t|^{-1/(p-1)-j/(2N)},
\end{aligned}$$

and we obtain (2.9). We next consider

$$\varphi_\nu(t, x) - \varphi(t, x) = - \int_{t-\nu}^t \partial_\tau \varphi(\tau, x) d\tau.$$

Since

$$\partial_\tau \varphi(\tau, x) = (\kappa - i\lambda) \varphi_{-1}^p(x) \{1 - \kappa(p-1) \varphi_{-1}^{p-1}(x)(t+1)\}^{(-1+i\frac{\lambda}{\kappa})\frac{1}{p-1}-1},$$

we may retrace the estimate as we did in the proof of Lemma 2.1, replacing the power  $(-1 + i\frac{\lambda}{\kappa})\frac{1}{p-1}$  by  $(-1 + i\frac{\lambda}{\kappa})\frac{1}{p-1} - 1$ . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_x^j(\varphi_\nu(t, x) - \varphi(t, x))| &\leq \int_{t-\nu}^t |\partial_x^j \partial_\tau \varphi(\tau, x)| d\tau \\ &\leq C \int_{t-\nu}^t |\tau|^{-1-1/(p-1)-j/(2N)} d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

The integrand is bounded by  $|\tau|^{-1+\varepsilon} |\tau|^{-1/(p-1)-j/(2N)-\varepsilon} \leq |\tau|^{-1+\varepsilon} |t|^{-1/(p-1)-j/(2N)-\varepsilon}$ . Then we see that

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_x^j(\varphi_\nu(t, x) - \varphi(t, x))| &\leq C_j |t|^{-1/(p-1)-j/(2N)-\varepsilon} \int_{t-\nu}^t |\tau|^{-1+\varepsilon} d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{C_j}{\varepsilon} |t|^{-1/(p-1)-j/(2N)-\varepsilon} (|t-\nu|^\varepsilon - |t|^\varepsilon) \\ &\leq C_{j,\varepsilon} |t|^{-1/(p-1)-j/(2N)-\varepsilon} \nu^\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|\partial_x^j(\varphi_\nu - \varphi_\nu)| \leq |\partial_x^j(\varphi_\nu - \varphi)| + |\partial_x^j(\varphi_\nu - \varphi)|$ , we obtain (2.10).  $\square$

### 3 A Solution Around the Blowing-Up Profile

We will construct a solution to (1.1) locally in negative time, which asymptotically tends to  $\varphi(t, x)$  as  $t \uparrow 0$ . To this end, we write  $u(t, x) = \varphi(t, x) + v(t, x)$ . Then the equation that  $v = v(t, x)$  satisfies is

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t v = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 v - \frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 \varphi + (\lambda + i\kappa)(\mathcal{N}(\varphi + v) - \mathcal{N}(\varphi)), \\ v(0, x) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\mathcal{N}(u) = |u|^{p-1}u$ . One may first suppose to apply the contraction mapping principle to (3.1) via Duhamel's principle. But this approach will not work so well, since the nonlinear estimate such as

$$|\mathcal{N}(\varphi + v) - \mathcal{N}(\varphi)| \leq C(|\varphi|^{p-1} + |v|^{p-1})|v|$$

contains the non-integrable singularity on  $|\varphi|^{p-1} = O(|t|^{-1})$  around  $t = 0$ . Thus we need to apply another approach so called the energy method. To derive a decay estimate of  $\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$  as  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ , we must solve (3.1) in the weighted  $L^2$  space. In this section, we will prove the next proposition.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $2 < p$ , and let  $\lambda, \kappa$  satisfy (1.2). Then, for some  $T_0 < 0$ , there exists a unique solution  $v = v(t, x)$  to (3.1) such that*

$$v \in C([T_0, 0]; H^1(\mathbb{R})) \cap C^1([T_0, 0]; H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})), \quad (3.2)$$

$$xv \in C([T_0, 0]; L^2(\mathbb{R})). \quad (3.3)$$

Furthermore the solution satisfies

$$\|v(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C|t|^{\alpha_0}, \quad \|\partial_x v(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C|t|^{\alpha_1}, \quad (3.4)$$

where  $\alpha_0 = 1 - 1/(p-1) - 2/(2N) > 0$  and  $\alpha_1 = 1 - 1/(p-1) - 3/(2N) > 0$  with  $N$  defined in (A.4).

To prove Proposition 3.1, we begin to consider an approximate solution for  $\varphi_\nu(t, x) = \varphi(t - \nu, x)$  with  $0 < \nu < 1$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t v_\nu = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 v_\nu - \frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 \varphi_\nu + (\lambda + i\kappa)(\mathcal{N}(\varphi_\nu + v_\nu) - \mathcal{N}(\varphi_\nu)), \\ v_\nu(0, x) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

Since there is no singularity at  $t = 0$  in  $\varphi_\nu(t, x)$ , the equation (3.5) can be solved locally in negative time by transforming it into the associated integral equation and by applying the contraction mapping principle [1]. Indeed we have a solution to (3.5) such that

$$\begin{aligned} v_\nu &\in C([T_\nu, 0]; H^1(\mathbb{R})) \cap C^1([T_\nu, 0]; H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})), \\ xv_\nu &\in C([T_\nu, 0]; L^2(\mathbb{R})), \end{aligned}$$

where  $T_\nu < 0$  is given by

$$T_\nu = \inf\{T \in (-1, 0); \sup_{T < t \leq 0} (\|v_\nu(t, \cdot)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} + \|xv_\nu(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}) < 1\}.$$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $2 < p$ , and let  $\lambda, \kappa$  satisfy (1.2). Then there exists some  $T_0 < 0$  such that the next three assertions hold.*

(i) *We have  $T_\nu \leq T_0$  for any  $\nu \in (0, 1]$ .*

(ii) *We have*

$$\sum_{j=0}^1 \|x^j v_\nu(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C|t|^{1-1/(p-1)-2/(2N)}, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\|\partial_x v_\nu(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C|t|^{1-1/(p-1)-3/(2N)} \quad (3.7)$$

for any  $t \in [T_0, 0]$  and  $\nu \in (0, 1]$ .

(iii) *Let  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$  with  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  sufficiently small. Then there exists some constant  $C_\varepsilon > 0$  such that*

$$\sum_{j=1}^1 \|x^j (v_\nu(t, \cdot) - v_{\nu'}(t, \cdot))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_\varepsilon |t|^{1-1/(p-1)-2/(2N)-\varepsilon} (\nu^\varepsilon + \nu'^\varepsilon), \quad (3.8)$$

$$\|\partial_x (v_\nu(t, \cdot) - v_{\nu'}(t, \cdot))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_\varepsilon |t|^{1-1/(p-1)-3/(2N)-\varepsilon} (\nu^{(p-2)\varepsilon/2} + \nu'^{(p-2)\varepsilon/2}) \quad (3.9)$$

for any  $t \in [T_0, 0]$  and  $\nu, \nu' \in (0, 1]$ .

**Proof of Lemma 3.2.** For the solution  $v_\nu$  to (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|v_\nu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 &= -\text{Im}(\partial_x^2 \varphi_\nu, v_\nu)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + 2\text{Im} \{ (\lambda + i\kappa)(\mathcal{N}(\varphi_\nu + v_\nu) - \mathcal{N}(\varphi_\nu), v_\nu)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \} \\ &\equiv I + II, \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

where  $(f, g)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\overline{g(x)}dx$  denotes the inner product. By Cauchy-Schwarz' inequality together with Corollary 2.2 (2.9), we see that

$$I \geq -C|t|^{-1/(p-1)-2/(2N)} \|v_\nu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}. \quad (3.11)$$

Since  $\mathcal{N}(\varphi_\nu + v_\nu) - \mathcal{N}(\varphi_\nu) = \int_0^1 \partial_\theta \mathcal{N}(\varphi_\nu + \theta v_\nu) d\theta$ , we have

$$II = 2 \int_0^1 \text{Im} \{ (\lambda + i\kappa)(\mathcal{N}_u(\varphi_\nu + \theta v_\nu)v_\nu + \mathcal{N}_{\bar{u}}(\varphi_\nu + \theta v_\nu)\overline{v_\nu}, v_\nu)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \} d\theta,$$

where  $\mathcal{N}_u(u) = \partial_u \mathcal{N}(u) = \frac{p+1}{2}|u|^{p-1}$  and  $\mathcal{N}_{\bar{u}}(u) = \partial_{\bar{u}} \mathcal{N}(u) = \frac{p-1}{2}|u|^{p-3}u^2$ . Then it follows that

$$II \geq ((p+1)\kappa - (p-1)|\lambda + i\kappa|) \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi_\nu + \theta v_\nu|^{p-1} |v_\nu|^2 dx d\theta.$$

Since  $(p+1)\kappa - (p-1)|\lambda + i\kappa| \geq 0$  due to (1.2), we see that

$$II \geq 0, \quad (3.12)$$

which implies that the nonlinearity is dropped out on the right hand side of (3.10). Plugging (3.11) and (3.12) into (3.10), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|v_\nu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \geq -C|t|^{-1/(p-1)-2/(2N)}. \quad (3.13)$$

Recall that  $2 < p$ , and note that  $N$  is large enough as in (A.4). Then  $-1 < -\frac{1}{p-1} - \frac{2}{2N}$  and so  $|t|^{-1/(p-1)-2/(2N)}$  is integrable near  $t = 0$ . Integrating (3.13) from  $t$  to  $0$ , we see that there exists some constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $\nu \in (0, 1]$  such that, for  $t \in (T_\nu, 0]$ ,

$$\|v_\nu(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C|t|^{1-1/(p-1)-2/(2N)}. \quad (3.14)$$

Note that  $\varphi_\nu(t, x)$  is compactly supported. Then the similar estimate to derive (3.14) is applied to  $\|xv_\nu(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$ , and we have, for  $t \in (T_\nu, 0]$ ,

$$\|xv_\nu(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C|t|^{1-1/(p-1)-2/(2N)}. \quad (3.15)$$

We next consider the estimate of  $\|\partial_x v_\nu(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$ . We see, formally, that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_x v_\nu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 &= -\text{Im}(\partial_x^3 \varphi_\nu, \partial_x v_\nu)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\quad + 2\text{Im} \{ (\lambda + i\kappa)(\partial_x \mathcal{N}(\varphi_\nu + v_\nu) - \partial_x \mathcal{N}(\varphi_\nu), \partial_x v_\nu)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \} \\ &\equiv III + IV. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$



By Cauchy-Schwarz' inequality together with Corollary 2.2 (2.9), we see that

$$III \geq -C|t|^{-1/(p-1)-3/(2N)} \|\partial_x v_\nu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}. \quad (3.17)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x \mathcal{N}(\varphi_\nu + v_\nu) - \partial_x \mathcal{N}(\varphi_\nu) &= \mathcal{N}_u(\varphi_\nu + v_\nu) \partial_x v_\nu + \mathcal{N}_{\bar{u}}(\varphi_\nu + v_\nu) \partial_x \bar{v}_\nu \\ &\quad + (\mathcal{N}_u(\varphi_\nu + v_\nu) - \mathcal{N}_u(\varphi_\nu)) \partial_x \varphi_\nu \\ &\quad + (\mathcal{N}_{\bar{u}}(\varphi_\nu + v_\nu) - \mathcal{N}_{\bar{u}}(\varphi_\nu)) \partial_x \bar{\varphi}_\nu \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|\mathcal{N}_u(\varphi_\nu + v_\nu) - \mathcal{N}_u(\varphi_\nu)| + |\mathcal{N}_{\bar{u}}(\varphi_\nu + v_\nu) - \mathcal{N}_{\bar{u}}(\varphi_\nu)| \leq C(|\varphi_\nu|^{p-2} + |v_\nu|^{p-2})|v_\nu|,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} IV &\geq ((p+1)\kappa - (p-1)|\lambda + i\kappa|) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi_\nu + v_\nu|^{p-1} |\partial_x v_\nu|^2 dx \\ &\quad - C \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\varphi_\nu|^{p-2} + |v_\nu|^{p-2}) |v_\nu| |\partial_x \varphi_\nu| |\partial_x v_\nu| dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

By (1.2), we have  $(p+1)\kappa - (p-1)|\lambda + i\kappa| \geq 0$ , and so the first term on the right hand side of (3.18) is dropped out. Applying Corollary 2.2 (2.9) to (3.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} IV &\geq -C|t|^{-1-1/(2N)} \|v_\nu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|\partial_x v_\nu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\quad - C|t|^{-1/(p-1)-1/(2N)} \|v_\nu\|_{L^{2(p-1)}(\mathbb{R})}^{p-1} \|\partial_x v_\nu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\geq C|t|^{-1-1/(2N)} \|v_\nu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|\partial_x v_\nu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\quad - C|t|^{-1/(p-1)-1/(2N)} \|v_\nu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{p/2} \|\partial_x v_\nu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{p/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Note here that, to deduce the last inequality in (3.19), the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality :  $\|v_\nu\|_{L^{2(p-1)}(\mathbb{R})}^{2(p-1)} \leq C \|v_\nu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^p \|\partial_x v_\nu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{p-2}$  was applied. Plugging (3.17) and (3.19) into (3.16), and making use of (3.14), we have, for  $t \in [T_\nu, 0)$ ,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_x v_\nu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \geq -C|t|^{-1/(p-1)-3/(2N)} - C|t|^{-1/(p-1)-1/(2N)} \|v_\nu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{p/2} \|\partial_x v_\nu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{(p/2)-1}.$$

Since  $\|v_\nu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C$  and  $\|\partial_x v_\nu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{(p/2)-1} \leq C(1 + \|\partial_x v_\nu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})})$  due to Young's inequality, the above inequality turns out to be

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_x v_\nu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \geq -C|t|^{-1/(p-1)-3/(2N)} - C|t|^{-1/(p-1)-1/(2N)} \|\partial_x v_\nu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Then Gronwall's inequality yields, for  $t \in [T_\nu, 0)$ ,

$$\|\partial_x v_\nu(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C|t|^{1-1/(p-1)-3/(2N)}, \quad (3.20)$$

where the constant  $C$  does not depend on  $\nu \in (0, 1]$ . Combining (3.14), (3.15) and (3.20), we see that

$$\|v_\nu(t)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} + \|xv_\nu(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C(|t|^{1-1/(p-1)-2/(2N)} + |t|^{1-1/(p-1)-3/(2N)}).$$

Assume that  $T_\nu \rightarrow 0$  as  $\nu \downarrow 0$ . Then, taking  $t = T_\nu$  in the above and recalling the definition of  $T_\nu$ , we have

$$1 \leq C|T_\nu|^{1-1/(p-1)-2/(2N)} + C|T_\nu|^{1-1/(p-1)-3/(2N)}.$$

This is a contradiction, since  $1 - \frac{1}{p-1} - \frac{3}{2N} > 0$  for large  $N$ . Hence there exists some  $T_0 < 0$  such that  $T_\nu \leq T_0$  for any  $\nu \in (0, 1]$ , and the proof for (i), (ii) is complete.

We are next going to prove (iii). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|v_\nu - v_{\nu'}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 &= -\text{Im}(\partial_x^2(\varphi_\nu - \varphi_{\nu'}), v_\nu - v_{\nu'})_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\quad + 2\text{Im} \{ (\lambda + i\kappa)(\mathcal{N}(\varphi_\nu + v_\nu) - \mathcal{N}(\varphi_\nu), v_\nu - v_{\nu'})_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \} \\ &\quad - 2\text{Im} \{ (\lambda + i\kappa)(\mathcal{N}(\varphi_{\nu'} + v_{\nu'}) - \mathcal{N}(\varphi_{\nu'}), v_\nu - v_{\nu'})_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \} \\ &\equiv V + VI - VI'. \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

By Corollary 2.2 (2.10), we have

$$V \geq -C|t|^{-1/(p-1)-2/(2N)-\varepsilon}(\nu^\varepsilon + \nu'^\varepsilon) \|v_\nu - v_{\nu'}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}. \quad (3.22)$$

Since  $\mathcal{N}(\varphi_\nu + v_\nu) - \mathcal{N}(\varphi_{\nu'}) = \int_0^1 \{ \mathcal{N}_u(\varphi_\nu + \theta v_\nu)v_\nu + \mathcal{N}_{\bar{u}}(\varphi_\nu + \theta v_\nu)\overline{v_\nu} \} d\theta$  etc., we see that

$$\begin{aligned} VI - VI' &= 2\text{Im} \int_0^1 (\mathcal{N}_u(\varphi_\nu + \theta v_\nu)(v_\nu - v_{\nu'}) - \mathcal{N}_{\bar{u}}(\varphi_\nu + \theta v_\nu)\overline{(v_\nu - v_{\nu'})}, v_\nu - v_{\nu'})_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} d\theta \\ &\quad + 2\text{Im} \int_0^1 (\{ \mathcal{N}_u(\varphi_\nu + \theta v_\nu) - \mathcal{N}_u(\varphi_{\nu'} + \theta v_{\nu'}) \} v_{\nu'}, v_\nu - v_{\nu'})_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} d\theta \\ &\quad + 2\text{Im} \int_0^1 (\{ \mathcal{N}_{\bar{u}}(\varphi_\nu + \theta v_\nu) - \mathcal{N}_{\bar{u}}(\varphi_{\nu'} + \theta v_{\nu'}) \} \overline{v_{\nu'}}, v_\nu - v_{\nu'})_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} d\theta \\ &\geq ((p+1)\kappa - (p-1)|\lambda + i\kappa|) \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi_\nu + \theta v_\nu|^{p-1} |v_\nu - v_{\nu'}|^2 dx d\theta \\ &\quad - C(\|\varphi_\nu\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^{p-2} + \|\varphi_{\nu'}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^{p-2} + \|v_\nu\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^{p-2} + \|v_{\nu'}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^{p-2}) \\ &\quad \times (\|\varphi_\nu - \varphi_{\nu'}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \|v_{\nu'}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|v_\nu - v_{\nu'}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|v_{\nu'}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}) \|v_\nu - v_{\nu'}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned}$$

By  $(p+1)\kappa - (p-1)|\lambda + i\kappa| \geq 0$  due to (1.2) and the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality  $\|v_\nu\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\|v_\nu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{1/2} \|\partial_x v_\nu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{1/2}$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} VI - VI' &\geq -C(|t|^{-1/(p-1)-2/(2N)-\varepsilon}(\nu^\varepsilon + \nu'^\varepsilon) + |t|^{-5/(4N)}) \|v_\nu - v_{\nu'}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\quad \times \|v_\nu - v_{\nu'}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

Plugging (3.22) and (3.23) into (3.21), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|v_\nu - v_{\nu'}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \geq -C|t|^{-1/(p-1)-2/(2N)-\varepsilon}(\nu^\varepsilon + \nu'^\varepsilon) - C|t|^{-5/(4N)} \|v_\nu - v_{\nu'}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Then Gronwall's inequality yields

$$\|v_\nu - v_{\nu'}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq -C|t|^{1-1/(p-1)-2/(2N)-\varepsilon}(\nu^\varepsilon + \nu'^\varepsilon). \quad (3.24)$$

The estimate for  $\|x(v_\nu - v_{\nu'})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$  similarly follows, and we have

$$\|x(v_\nu - v_{\nu'})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq -C|t|^{1-1/(p-1)-2/(2N)-\varepsilon}(\nu^\varepsilon + \nu'^\varepsilon). \quad (3.25)$$

Finally we are going to consider the estimate of  $\|\partial_x(v_\nu - v_{\nu'})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_x(v_\nu - v_{\nu'})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \\ &= -\text{Im}(\partial_x^3(\varphi_\nu - \varphi_{\nu'}), \partial_x(v_\nu - v_{\nu'}))_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ & \quad + 2\text{Im} \left\{ (\lambda + i\kappa)(\partial_x \mathcal{N}(\varphi_\nu + v_\nu) - \partial_x \mathcal{N}(\varphi_\nu), \partial_x(v_\nu - v_{\nu'}))_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \right\} \\ & \quad - 2\text{Im} \left\{ (\lambda + i\kappa)(\partial_x \mathcal{N}(\varphi_{\nu'} + v_{\nu'}) - \partial_x \mathcal{N}(\varphi_{\nu'}), \partial_x(v_\nu - v_{\nu'}))_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \right\} \\ & \equiv VII + VIII - VIII'. \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

By Corollary 2.2 (2.10), we have

$$VII \geq -C|t|^{-1/(p-1)-3/(2N)-\varepsilon}(\nu^\varepsilon + \nu'^\varepsilon) \|\partial_x(v_\nu - v_{\nu'})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}. \quad (3.27)$$

Since  $\partial_x \mathcal{N}(\varphi_\nu + v_\nu) = \mathcal{N}_u(\varphi_\nu + v_\nu) \partial_x(\varphi_\nu + v_\nu) + \mathcal{N}_{\bar{u}}(\varphi_\nu + v_\nu) \overline{\partial_x(\varphi_\nu + v_\nu)}$  and  $\partial_x \mathcal{N}(\varphi_\nu) = \mathcal{N}_u(\varphi_\nu) \partial_x \varphi_\nu + \mathcal{N}_{\bar{u}}(\varphi_\nu) \overline{\partial_x \varphi_\nu}$  etc., it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & VIII - VIII' \\ & \geq 2\text{Im} \left\{ (\lambda + i\kappa)(\mathcal{N}_u(\varphi_\nu + v_\nu) \partial_x w - \mathcal{N}_{\bar{u}}(\varphi_\nu + v_\nu) \overline{\partial_x w}, \partial_x w)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \right\} \\ & \quad - C|(\{\mathcal{N}_u(\varphi_\nu + v_\nu) - \mathcal{N}_u(\varphi_{\nu'} + v_{\nu'})\} \partial_x v_{\nu'}, \partial_x w)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}| \\ & \quad - C|(\{\mathcal{N}_{\bar{u}}(\varphi_\nu + v_\nu) - \mathcal{N}_{\bar{u}}(\varphi_{\nu'} + v_{\nu'})\} \overline{\partial_x v_{\nu'}}, \partial_x w)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}| \\ & \quad - C|(\{\mathcal{N}_u(\varphi_\nu + v_\nu) - \mathcal{N}_u(\varphi_\nu)\} \partial_x(\varphi_\nu - \varphi_{\nu'}), \partial_x w)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}| \\ & \quad - C|(\{\mathcal{N}_{\bar{u}}(\varphi_\nu + v_\nu) - \mathcal{N}_{\bar{u}}(\varphi_\nu)\} \overline{\partial_x(\varphi_\nu - \varphi_{\nu'})}, \partial_x w)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}| \\ & \quad - C|(\mathcal{M}_1(\varphi_\nu, \varphi_{\nu'}, v_\nu, v_{\nu'}) \partial_x \varphi_{\nu'}, \partial_x w)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}| \\ & \quad - C|(\mathcal{M}_2(\varphi_\nu, \varphi_{\nu'}, v_\nu, v_{\nu'}) \overline{\partial_x \varphi_{\nu'}}, \partial_x w)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}|, \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

where  $w = v_\nu - v_{\nu'}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_1(\varphi_\nu, \varphi_{\nu'}, v_\nu, v_{\nu'}) &= \mathcal{N}_u(\varphi_\nu + v_\nu) - \mathcal{N}_u(\varphi_\nu) - \mathcal{N}_u(\varphi_{\nu'} + v_{\nu'}) + \mathcal{N}_u(\varphi_{\nu'}), \\ \mathcal{M}_2(\varphi_\nu, \varphi_{\nu'}, v_\nu, v_{\nu'}) &= \mathcal{N}_{\bar{u}}(\varphi_\nu + v_\nu) - \mathcal{N}_{\bar{u}}(\varphi_\nu) - \mathcal{N}_{\bar{u}}(\varphi_{\nu'} + v_{\nu'}) + \mathcal{N}_{\bar{u}}(\varphi_{\nu'}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\text{Im} \left\{ (\lambda + i\kappa)(\mathcal{N}_u(\varphi_\nu + v_\nu) \partial_x w - \mathcal{N}_{\bar{u}}(\varphi_\nu + v_\nu) \overline{\partial_x w}, \partial_x w)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \right\} \\ &= ((p+1)\kappa - (p-1)|\lambda + i\kappa|) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi_\nu + v_\nu|^{p-1} |\partial_x w|^2 dx \\ &\geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathcal{N}_u(\varphi_\nu + v_\nu) - \mathcal{N}_u(\varphi_{\nu'} + v_{\nu'})| \\ &\leq C(\|\varphi_\nu\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^{p-2} + \|\varphi_{\nu'}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^{p-2} + \|v_\nu\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^{p-2} + \|v_{\nu'}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^{p-2}) \\ &\quad \times (|\varphi_\nu - \varphi_{\nu'}| + |v_\nu - v_{\nu'}|). \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

We rewrite  $\mathcal{M}_1$  in such a way that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{M}_1(\varphi_\nu, \varphi_{\nu'}, v_\nu, v_{\nu'}) \\
&= \int_0^1 \mathcal{N}_{uu}(\varphi_\nu + \theta v_\nu) v_\nu d\theta + \int_0^1 \mathcal{N}_{u\bar{u}}(\varphi_\nu + \theta v_\nu) \overline{v_{\nu'}} d\theta \\
&\quad - \int_0^1 \mathcal{N}_{uu}(\varphi_{\nu'} + \theta v_{\nu'}) v_{\nu'} d\theta - \int_0^1 \mathcal{N}_{u\bar{u}}(\varphi_{\nu'} + \theta v_{\nu'}) \overline{\varphi_{\nu'}} d\theta \\
&= \int_0^1 \mathcal{N}_{uu}(\varphi_\nu + \theta v_\nu) (v_\nu - v_{\nu'}) d\theta + \int_0^1 \mathcal{N}_{u\bar{u}}(\varphi_\nu + \theta v_\nu) \overline{(v_\nu - v_{\nu'})} d\theta \\
&\quad + \int_0^1 (\mathcal{N}_{uu}(\varphi_\nu + \theta v_\nu) - \mathcal{N}_{uu}(\varphi_{\nu'} + \theta v_{\nu'})) v_{\nu'} d\theta \\
&\quad + \int_0^1 (\mathcal{N}_{u\bar{u}}(\varphi_\nu + \theta v_\nu) - \mathcal{N}_{u\bar{u}}(\varphi_{\nu'} + \theta v_{\nu'})) \overline{v_{\nu'}} d\theta
\end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

where  $\mathcal{N}_{uu}(u) = \partial_u^2 \mathcal{N}(u)$  and  $\mathcal{N}_{u\bar{u}}(u) = \partial_{\bar{u}} \partial_u \mathcal{N}(u)$ . Apply, for instance, the simple inequalities :

$$|\mathcal{N}_{uu}(\varphi_\nu + \theta v_\nu)| \leq C(\|\varphi_\nu\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^{p-2} + \|v_\nu\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^{p-2})$$

and

$$|\mathcal{N}_{uu}(\varphi_\nu + \theta v_\nu) - \mathcal{N}_{uu}(\varphi_{\nu'} + \theta v_{\nu'})| \leq C(\|\varphi_\nu - \varphi_{\nu'}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^{p-2} + \|v_\nu - v_{\nu'}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^{p-2})$$

to (3.31). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{M}_1(\varphi_\nu, \varphi_{\nu'}, v_\nu, v_{\nu'})| &\leq C(\|\varphi_\nu\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^{p-2} + \|v_\nu\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^{p-2}) |v_\nu - v_{\nu'}| \\
&\quad + C(\|\varphi_\nu - \varphi_{\nu'}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^{p-2} + \|v_\nu - v_{\nu'}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^{p-2}) |v_{\nu'}|.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

Plugging (3.29), (3.30) and (3.32) into (3.28), and making use of the similar estimates for  $\mathcal{N}_{\bar{u}}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_2$ , we see that

*VIII – VIII'*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq -C(\|\varphi_\nu\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^{p-2} + \|\varphi_{\nu'}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^{p-2} + \|v_\nu\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^{p-2} + \|v_{\nu'}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^{p-2}) \\
&\quad \times (\|\varphi_\nu - \varphi_{\nu'}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} + \|v_\nu - v_{\nu'}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}) \|\partial_x v_{\nu'}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|\partial_x w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\
&\quad - C(\|\varphi_\nu\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^{p-2} + \|v_\nu\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^{p-2}) \|v_\nu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|\partial_x(\varphi_\nu - \varphi_{\nu'})\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \|\partial_x w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\
&\quad - C(\|\varphi_\nu\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^{p-2} + \|v_\nu\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^{p-2}) \|v_\nu - v_{\nu'}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|\partial_x \varphi_{\nu'}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \|\partial_x w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\
&\quad - C(\|\varphi_\nu - \varphi_{\nu'}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^{p-2} + \|v_\nu - v_{\nu'}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^{p-2}) \|v_{\nu'}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|\partial_x \varphi_{\nu'}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \|\partial_x w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Corollary 2.2 to  $\varphi_\nu$ ,  $\varphi_{\nu'}$  and  $\varphi_\nu - \varphi_{\nu'}$ , (3.6) - (3.8) to  $v_\nu$ ,  $v_{\nu'}$  and  $v_\nu - v_{\nu'}$ , we have

*VIII – VIII'*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq -C(|t|^{-1/(p-1)-3/(2N)-\varepsilon} (\nu^\varepsilon + \nu'^\varepsilon) + |t|^{-3/(2N)} \|v_\nu - v_{\nu'}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}) \|\partial_x w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\
&\quad - C|t|^{-1/(p-1)-3/(2N)-\varepsilon} (\nu^\varepsilon + \nu'^\varepsilon) \|\partial_x w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\
&\quad - C|t|^{-1/(p-1)-3/(2N)-(p-2)\varepsilon} (\nu^{(p-2)\varepsilon} + \nu'^{(p-2)\varepsilon}) \|\partial_x w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\
&\quad - C|t|^{1-2/(p-1)-3/(2N)} \|v_\nu - v_{\nu'}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^{p-2} \|\partial_x w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

Apply Gagliardo-Nirenberg's inequality :  $\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{1/2}\|\partial_x f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$  to  $\|v_\nu - v_{\nu'}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_\nu - v_{\nu'}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} &\leq C\|v_\nu - v_{\nu'}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{1/2}\|\partial_x(v_\nu - v_{\nu'})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{1/2} \\ &\leq C\|v_\nu - v_{\nu'}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{1/2}(\|\partial_x v_\nu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|\partial_x v_{\nu'}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})})^{1/2} \\ &\leq C|t|^{1-1/(p-1)-5/(4N)-\varepsilon/2}(\nu^{\varepsilon/2} + \nu'^{\varepsilon/2}), \end{aligned}$$

where (3.7) and (3.8) were used. Plugging the above inequality to (3.33), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &VIII - VIII' \\ &\geq -C|t|^{-1/(p-1)-3/(2N)-\varepsilon}(\nu^\varepsilon + \nu'^\varepsilon)\|\partial_x w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\quad -C|t|^{1-1/(p-1)-11/(4N)-\varepsilon/2}(\nu^{\varepsilon/2} + \nu'^{\varepsilon/2})\|\partial_x w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\quad -C|t|^{-1/(p-1)-3/(2N)-(p-2)\varepsilon}(\nu^{(p-2)\varepsilon} + \nu'^{(p-2)\varepsilon})\|\partial_x w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\quad -C|t|^{p-2-1/(p-1)-(5p-4)/(4N)-(p-2)\varepsilon/2}(\nu^{(p-2)\varepsilon/2} + \nu'^{(p-2)\varepsilon/2})\|\partial_x w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\geq -C|t|^{-1/(p-1)-3/(2N)-\varepsilon}(\nu^{(p-2)\varepsilon/2} + \nu'^{(p-2)\varepsilon/2})\|\partial_x w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

for sufficiently large  $N$  and sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$ . Plugging (3.27) and (3.34) into (3.26), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt}\|\partial_x(v_\nu - v_{\nu'})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\geq -C|t|^{-1/(p-1)-3/(2N)-\varepsilon}(\nu^{(p-2)\varepsilon/2} + \nu'^{(p-2)\varepsilon/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating from  $t$  to 0, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\partial_x(v_\nu - v_{\nu'})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq C|t|^{1-1/(p-1)-3/(2N)-\varepsilon}(\nu^{(p-2)\varepsilon/2} + \nu'^{(p-2)\varepsilon/2}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2.  $\square$

**Proof of Proposition 3.1** By Lemma 3.2 (3.8) and (3.9), there exists a limit  $\lim_{\nu \downarrow 0} v_\nu = v$  in  $C([T_0, 0]; H^1(\mathbb{R}))$  and in the weighted  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Also we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 v_\nu - \frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 \varphi_\nu + (\lambda + i\kappa)(\mathcal{N}(\varphi_\nu + v_\nu) - \mathcal{N}(\varphi_\nu)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\nu \downarrow 0} -\frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 v - \frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 \varphi + (\lambda + i\kappa)(\mathcal{N}(\varphi + v) - \mathcal{N}(\varphi)) \end{aligned}$$

holds in  $C([T_0, \tau]; H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}))$  for any  $\tau \in (T_0, 0)$ . It follows that  $\lim_{\nu \downarrow 0} \partial_t v_\nu = \partial_t v$  in  $C([T_0, 0]; H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}))$ , and hence  $v \in C^1([T_0, 0]; H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}))$ . The uniqueness follows by deriving  $\|v_1 - v_2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = 0$ .  $\square$

## 4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We need to prolong the solution  $u = \varphi + v$  backward in negative time. It is easy to guess that the size of the solution tends to 0 as  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ , since the nonlinear amplification (i.e.,

$\kappa > 0$ ) works as the dissipation in negative time direction. However this observation fails when  $3 < p$  since the dispersion caused by  $-(1/2)\partial_x^2$  breaks down the nonlinearity. Hence the condition  $p \leq 3$  is required to ensure  $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = 0$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $1 < p \leq 3$  and  $\lambda, \kappa$  satisfy (1.2). Let  $u(T_0, \cdot) \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $xu(T_0, \cdot) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Then the solution  $u = u(t, x)$  to (1.1) exists globally in negative time. Furthermore we have*

$$\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \begin{cases} (\log |t|)^{-1/3} & (p = 3), \\ |t|^{-(2/3)(1/(p-1)-1/2)} & (2 < p < 3) \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

for  $t \in (-\infty, T_0]$ .

**Proof of Proposition 4.1.** By (1.1), we see that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 = \kappa \|u\|_{L^{p+1}(\mathbb{R})}^{p+1}.$$

Applying Hölder's inequality :  $\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{2p} \leq \|u\|_{L^{p+1}(\mathbb{R})}^{p+1} \|u\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}^{p-1}$ , we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \geq \kappa \frac{\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{2p}}{\|u\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}^{p-1}}.$$

Next apply (scale-invariant) Cauchy-Schwarz' inequality :  $\|u\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{1/2} \|xu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{1/2}$ . Then we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \geq C \frac{\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{(3p+1)/2}}{\|xu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{(p-1)/2}}.$$

Since  $xu = Ju + it\partial_x u$  where  $J = x - it\partial_x$ , it follows that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \geq C \frac{\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{(3p+1)/2}}{\|Ju\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{(p-1)/2} + t^{(p-1)/2} \|\partial_x u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}}. \quad (4.2)$$

We here note that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_x u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 &= 2\text{Im} \{ (\lambda + i\kappa)(\mathcal{N}_u(u)\partial_x u + \mathcal{N}_{\bar{u}}(u)\overline{\partial_x u}, u)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \} \\ &\geq ((p+1)\kappa - (p-1)|\lambda + i\kappa|) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u|^{p+1} |\partial_x u|^2 dx \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have, for  $t \in (-\infty, T_0]$ ,

$$\|\partial_x u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq \|u(T_0, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}. \quad (4.3)$$

Also, noting that the operator  $J$  and  $i\partial_t + \frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2$  commute and applying  $J\mathcal{N}(u) = \mathcal{N}_u(u)Ju - \mathcal{N}_{\bar{u}}(u)\overline{Ju}$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\|Ju\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 &= 2\text{Im}\{(\lambda + i\kappa)(\mathcal{N}_u(u)Ju - \mathcal{N}_{\bar{u}}(u)\overline{Ju}), u\}_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\geq ((p+1)\kappa - (p-1)|\lambda + i\kappa|) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u|^{p+1} |Ju|^2 dx \\ &\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and so we have

$$\|Ju(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq \|xu(T_0, \cdot) - iT_0\partial_x u(T_0, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}. \quad (4.4)$$

Plugging (4.3) and (4.4) into (4.2), we see that, for  $t \in (-\infty, T_0]$ ,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \geq Ct^{-(p-1)/2}\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{(3p+1)/2},$$

which is equivalent to

$$-\frac{2}{3(p-1)}\frac{d}{dt}\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{-3(p-1)/2} \geq Ct^{-(p-1)/2}. \quad (4.5)$$

Integrating (4.5) from  $t$  to  $T_0$ , we have

$$\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq \begin{cases} \left(\|u(T_0, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{-3} + C \log \frac{|t|}{|T_0|}\right)^{-\frac{1}{3}} & (p=3), \\ \left(\|u(T_0, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{-\frac{3(p-1)}{2}} + C(|t|^{\frac{3-p}{2}} - |T_0|^{\frac{3-p}{2}})\right)^{-\frac{2}{3(p-1)}} & (2 < p < 3). \end{cases} \quad (4.6)$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 4.1.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** By Proposition 3.1, there exists a solution to (1.1) in  $[T_0, 0]$  such as  $u(t, x) = \varphi(t, x) + v(t, x)$  where  $\varphi(t, x)$  denotes a blowing-up profile determined in § 2 and  $v(t, x)$  satisfies  $v(0, x) = 0$ . Since  $u(T_0, \cdot) \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $xu(T_0, \cdot) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , Proposition 4.1 is applied, and so we have a solution such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = 0$ . This means that, for any  $\rho > 0$ , there exists some  $\tau < 0$  such that  $\|u(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} < \rho$ . Take  $u(\tau, x) = u_0(x)$  as a initial data of (1.1), and consider the positive time direction. Then, from the translation-invariance of (1.1) with respect to  $t$  and the uniqueness of the solution in  $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ , it follows that the solution  $u$  blows up at some  $T^*(=|\tau|)$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** N. Kita would like to express his appreciation to Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, an International Joint Usage/Research Center located in Kyoto University for giving him opportunities to participate in the joint research activity titled "Reaction Diffusion Equations and Nonlinear Dispersive Equations" organized on 20-22 of February, 2019. He could obtain a chance for the collaboration with the other authors of this article. N. Kita also appreciates the spacial support of Osaka City University Advanced Mathematical Institute, MEXT Joint Usage/Research Center on Mathematics and Theoretical Physics JPMXP0619217849, for giving him a chance to organize a joint research on reaction diffusion equations and nonlinear dispersive equations.

## References

- [1] T. Cazenave, *Semilinear Schrödinger equations*, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **10**. New York University, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [2] T. Cazenave, Y. Martel and L. Zhao, *Finite-time blowup for a Schrödinger equation with nonlinear source term*, Amer. Inst. Math. Sci. **39** (2019), 1171-1183.
- [3] J. Zhang, *On the finite-time behaviour for nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **162** (1994), 249-260.

FACULTY OF ADVANCED SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, KUMAMOTO UNIVERSITY  
KUROKAMI 2-39-1, KUMAMOTO, 860-8555 JAPAN  
*E-mail address:* nkita@kumamoto-u.ac.jp