

# Boundedness of solutions to a parabolic attraction-repulsion chemotaxis system in $\mathbb{R}^2$ : the attractive dominant case

Toshitaka NAGAI\*      Yukihiro SEKI†      Tetsuya YAMADA ‡

## Abstract

We discuss the Cauchy problem for a parabolic attraction-repulsion chemotaxis system:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \Delta u - \nabla \cdot (\beta_1 u \nabla v_1) + \nabla \cdot (\beta_2 u \nabla v_2), & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \partial_t v_j = \Delta v_j - \lambda_j v_j + u, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \quad (j = 1, 2), \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), v_j(0, x) = v_{j0}(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \quad (j = 1, 2) \end{cases}$$

with positive constants  $\beta_j, \lambda_j > 0$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) satisfying  $\beta_1 > \beta_2$ . In our companion paper, the authors proved the existence of global-in-time solutions for any initial data with  $(\beta_1 - \beta_2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u_0 dx < 8\pi$ . In this paper, we prove that every solution stays bounded as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  provided that  $(\beta_1 - \beta_2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u_0 dx < 4\pi$ .

**Key words:** Global existence; A priori estimate; Boundedness

**2020 Mathematics subject classification:** 35A01; 35B45; 35K45; 35Q92

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the Cauchy problem:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \Delta u - \nabla \cdot (\beta_1 u \nabla v_1) + \nabla \cdot (\beta_2 u \nabla v_2), & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \partial_t v_j = \Delta v_j - \lambda_j v_j + u, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \quad (j = 1, 2), \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), v_j(0, x) = v_{j0}(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \quad (j = 1, 2), \end{cases} \quad (\text{CP})$$

where  $\beta_j, \lambda_j$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) are positive constants and  $u_0, v_{j0}$  are nonnegative functions satisfying

$$u_0 \geq 0, u_0 \not\equiv 0, u_0 \in L^1 \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad v_{j0} \geq 0, v_{j0}, |\nabla v_{j0}| \in L^1 \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

This system was proposed in [6] to describe the aggregation process of *Microglia*, in which functions  $u(t, x)$ ,  $v_1(t, x)$ , and  $v_2(t, x)$  represent the density of *Microglia*, the chemical concentration of attractive, and repulsive signals, respectively.

---

\*Department of Mathematics, Hiroshima University, Higashihiroshima, 739-8526, Japan. E-mail address:tnagai@hiroshima-u.ac.jp

†Osaka City University Advanced Mathematical Institute, 3-3-138 Sugimoto, Sumiyoshi-ku Osaka 558-8585, Japan. E-mail address:seki@sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp

‡Course of General Education, National Institute of Technology, Fukui College, Sabae, Fukui 916-8507, Japan. E-mail address:yamada@fukui-nct.ac.jp (Corresponding author)

The Cauchy–Neumann problem (CP) on bounded domains have been studied by many researchers (cf. [1, 3, 4, 5] and references cited therein), whereas only a few results were obtained for the Cauchy problem (CP) in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . In what follows, the symbols for the integral over the whole space, Lebesgue spaces, and their norms are abbreviated as  $\int dx := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dx$ ,  $L^p := L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , and  $\|\cdot\|_p := \|\cdot\|_{L^p}$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ), respectively. Jin–Liu [2] proved that every solution  $(u, v_1, v_2)$  to the Cauchy problem (CP) is globally bounded provided that  $\beta_1 = \beta_2$ . They also proved that for all  $1 < p \leq \infty$ ,

$$\sup_{t>0} (1+t)^{1-1/p} \|u(t)\|_p < \infty, \quad (1.1a)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{1-1/p} \|u(t) - \|u_0\|_1 G(t)\|_p = 0 \quad (1.1b)$$

as well as the same asymptotic profiles for  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ , where  $G(t) = G(x, t)$  denotes the usual heat kernel in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . For the repulsion-dominant case  $\beta_1 < \beta_2$ , the third author [10] has recently proven that every solution is bounded globally in time. The most delicate situation is the attraction-dominant case  $\beta_1 > \beta_2$  since it is expected that the attraction can dominate over repulsive and diffusive effects, so that finite or infinite time blow-up can occur. In this case, the authors [7] have proven that every nonnegative solution with  $(\beta_1 - \beta_2)\|u_0\|_1 < 8\pi$  exists globally in time. However, there is no result as to whether or not it remains bounded as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . The goal of this paper is to solve this last problem under an additional condition on initial data. We are now in a position to state our main result.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume  $\beta_1 > \beta_2$  and*

$$\int u_0 dx < \frac{4\pi}{\beta_1 - \beta_2}.$$

*Then the nonnegative solution of (CP) exists globally in time and satisfies*

$$\sup_{t>0} (\|u(t)\|_\infty + \|v_1(t)\|_\infty + \|v_2(t)\|_\infty) < \infty. \quad (1.2)$$

**Remark 1.2.** Once the boundedness is established, the same analysis as in [2] (which goes back to [8]) on asymptotic profile works without any change (even for  $\beta_1 \neq \beta_2$ ), and therefore (1.1) holds as well.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We first recall the following inequality, which is a crucial key to show Theorem 1.1:

**Lemma 2.1** ([9, Lemma 2.3]). *For  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  and nonnegative functions  $g \in L^1 \cap W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ,*

$$\int g^2 dx \leq \frac{1+\varepsilon}{4\pi} \left( \int g dx \right) \left( \int \frac{|\nabla g|^2}{1+g} dx \right) + \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \int g dx.$$

In what follows, let  $0 < T < \infty$  and  $(u, v_1, v_2)$  be the nonnegative solution to (CP) on  $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^2$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** By (CP), the fact of  $\int \partial_t u dx = 0$ , and an integration by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \int (1+u) \log(1+u) dx + \int \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{1+u} dx \\
&= \int \nabla \cdot (\nabla u - u \nabla(\beta_1 v_1 - \beta_2 v_2)) \log(1+u) dx + \int \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{1+u} dx \\
&= \int \nabla u \cdot \nabla(\beta_1 v_1 - \beta_2 v_2) dx - \int \nabla \log(1+u) \cdot \nabla(\beta_1 v_1 - \beta_2 v_2) dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Set

$$\psi = \beta_1 v_1 - \beta_2 v_2, \quad h = \lambda_2 \beta_2 v_2 - \lambda_1 \beta_1 v_1, \tag{2.2a}$$

$$\beta = \beta_1 - \beta_2, \tag{2.2b}$$

where  $\beta$  is positive by assumption. Due to (CP), we have

$$\partial_t \psi = \Delta \psi + h + \beta u. \tag{2.3}$$

Integrating by parts in (2.1) and using (2.3), we obtain, after re-grouping of terms,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \int (1+u) \log(1+u) dx + \int \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{1+u} dx \\
&= -\beta \int u \log(1+u) dx + \int (u - \log(1+u)) h dx + \beta \int u^2 dx - \int u \partial_t \psi dx + \int \partial_t \psi \log(1+u) dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Let us write

$$-\beta \int u \log(1+u) dx = -\beta \int (1+u) \log(1+u) dx + \beta \int \log(1+u) dx. \tag{2.5}$$

By use of  $x \geq \log(1+x)$ , Hölder's and Young's inequalities as well as mass conservation, we obtain

$$\beta \int \log(1+u) dx \leq \beta \int u dx = \beta \|u_0\|_1, \tag{2.6}$$

$$\int (u - \log(1+u)) |h| dx \leq 2 \left( \int u^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \left( \int h^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \leq \varepsilon \int u^2 dx + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int h^2 dx, \tag{2.7}$$

where the constant  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary. Multiply  $-\partial_t \psi / \beta$  for the both sides of (2.3) and integrate the resulted identity over  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . An integration by parts then shows

$$-\frac{1}{\beta} \int (\partial_t \psi)^2 dx = \frac{1}{\beta} \int \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla \partial_t \psi dx - \frac{1}{\beta} \int h \partial_t \psi dx - \int u \partial_t \psi dx.$$

Since  $\partial_t (|\nabla \psi|^2) = 2 \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla (\partial_t \psi)$ , a similar argument to the one used to derive (2.7) shows

$$\begin{aligned}
-\int u \partial_t \psi dx &= -\frac{1}{\beta} \int (\partial_t \psi)^2 dx - \frac{1}{2\beta} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int |\nabla \psi|^2 dx \right) + \frac{1}{\beta} \int h \partial_t \psi dx \\
&\leq -\frac{3}{4\beta} \int (\partial_t \psi)^2 dx - \frac{1}{2\beta} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int |\nabla \psi|^2 dx \right) + \frac{1}{\beta} \int h^2 dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Since  $\sqrt{x} \geq \log(1+x)$ , it follows by Hölder's and Young's inequalities as well as mass conservation that

$$\begin{aligned} \int \partial_t \psi \log(1+u) dx &\leq \int u^{1/2} |\partial_t \psi| dx \\ &\leq \|u_0\|_1^{1/2} \left( \int (\partial_t \psi)^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4\beta} \int (\partial_t \psi)^2 dx + \beta \|u_0\|_1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Putting (2.4)–(2.9) together, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int (1+u) \log(1+u) dx + \frac{1}{2\beta} \int |\nabla \psi|^2 dx \right) &+ \int \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{1+u} dx + \frac{1}{2\beta} \int (\partial_t \psi)^2 dx \\ &\leq -\beta \int (1+u) \log(1+u) dx + (\beta + \varepsilon) \int u^2 dx + 2\beta \|u_0\|_1 + C_1(\varepsilon) \int h^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Due to (2.3) and an integration by parts, we readily obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int \psi^2 dx \right) + \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla \psi|^2 dx &= \frac{1}{2} \int h \psi dx + \frac{\beta}{2} \int u \psi dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \int (h^2 + \psi^2) dx + \varepsilon \int u^2 dx + \frac{\beta^2}{16\varepsilon} \int \psi^2 dx, \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

where Young's inequality has been used as well. Adding the inequality (2.11) to (2.10) and  $(\beta/4) \int \psi^2 dx$  to the both sides of the resulted inequality yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int (1+u) \log(1+u) dx + \frac{1}{2\beta} \int |\nabla \psi|^2 dx + \frac{1}{4} \int \psi^2 dx \right) \\ + \beta \int (1+u) \log(1+u) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla \psi|^2 dx + \int \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{1+u} dx + \frac{1}{2\beta} \int (\partial_t \psi)^2 dx + \frac{\beta}{4} \int \psi^2 dx \\ \leq (\beta + 2\varepsilon) \int u^2 dx + 2\beta \|u_0\|_1 + C_1(\varepsilon) \int h^2 dx + C_2(\varepsilon) \int \psi^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

This is rewritten as

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{F} + \beta \mathcal{F} + \frac{1}{2\beta} \int (\partial_t \psi)^2 dx + \int \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{1+u} dx \leq (\beta + 2\varepsilon) \int u^2 dx + \mathcal{G}(\varepsilon) \quad (2.12)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} &:= \int (1+u) \log(1+u) dx + \frac{1}{2\beta} \int |\nabla \psi|^2 dx + \frac{1}{4} \int \psi^2 dx, \\ \mathcal{G}(\varepsilon) &:= 2\beta \|u_0\|_1 + C_1(\varepsilon) \int h^2 dx + C_2(\varepsilon) \int \psi^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 with  $g = u$ , we obtain

$$\int u^2 dx \leq \frac{1+\varepsilon}{4\pi} \|u_0\|_1 \int \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{1+u} dx + C_3(\varepsilon) \|u_0\|_1. \quad (2.14)$$

Due to our assumption  $\|u_0\|_1 < 4\pi/\beta$ , there exists a small constant  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that

$$(\beta + 2\varepsilon_0) \frac{1 + \varepsilon_0}{4\pi} \|u_0\|_1 < 1. \quad (2.15)$$

We deduce from (2.12), (2.14), and (2.15) that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{F} + \beta \mathcal{F} \leq \mathcal{G}(\varepsilon_0) + C_3(\varepsilon_0) \|u_0\|_1. \quad (2.16)$$

We now estimate each term that constitutes  $\mathcal{G}$  (cf. (2.13)). By standard computations, one may rewrite equations  $\partial_t v_j = \Delta v_j - \lambda_j v_j + u$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) to equivalent integral equations. Applying the  $L^p$ - $L^q$  estimates ( $q = 1$  or  $q = p$ ) for the heat semigroup to the resulted equations, we then obtain

$$\|v_j(t)\|_p \leq e^{-\lambda_j t} \|e^{t\Delta} v_{j0}\|_p + \int_0^t e^{-\lambda_j(t-s)} \|e^{(t-s)\Delta} u(s)\|_p ds \leq C(\|v_{j0}\|_p, \lambda_j, \|u_0\|_1)$$

for any  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ ,  $0 < t < T$ . Therefore quantities  $\|\psi(t)\|_2$  and  $\|h(t)\|_2$  (cf. (2.2a)) are bounded by a positive constant depending only on  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \|u_0\|_1, \|v_{10}\|_2$ , and  $\|v_{20}\|_2$ . Hence the application of Gronwall's inequality to (2.16) shows that

$$\mathcal{F}(t) \leq \mathcal{F}(0)e^{-\beta t} + C(\beta_1, \beta_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \varepsilon_0, \|u_0\|_1, \|v_{10}\|_2, \|v_{20}\|_2) \quad (2.17)$$

for  $0 < t < T$ . Since the uniform bound (2.17) with respect to  $T$  is in hand, a standard iteration argument (cf. [5, Section 3]) yields a uniform bound on  $\sup_{0 < t < T} \|u(t)\|_\infty$ . Consequently, the nonnegative solution to (CP) may be extended globally in time and

$$\sup_{t > 0} \|u(t)\|_\infty < \infty. \quad (2.18)$$

The combination of (2.18) and the  $L^\infty$ - $L^\infty$  estimate for the heat semigroup readily yields uniform bounds for  $\|v_1(t)\|_\infty$  and  $\|v_2(t)\|_\infty$ , whence (1.2). The proof is now complete.  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** The second author was partly supported by Grant-in-Aid for scientific research (18K03373) and by Osaka City University Advanced Mathematical Institute (MEXT Joint Usage/Research Center on Mathematics and Theoretical Physics JPMXP0619217849).

## References

- [1] T. Cieřlak, K. Fujie, Some remarks on well-posedness of the higher-dimensional chemorepulsion system, *Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Math.* **67** (2019) 165–178.
- [2] H. Y. Jin, Z. Liu, Large time behavior of the full attraction–repulsion Keller–Segel system in the whole space, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **47** (2015) 13–20.
- [3] H. Y. Jin, Z.A. Wang, Boundedness, blowup and critical mass phenomenon in competing chemotaxis, *J. Differential Equations* **260** (2016) 162–196.
- [4] K. Lin, C. Mu, Global existence and convergence to steady states for an attraction–repulsion chemotaxis system, *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* **31** (2016) 630–642.

- [5] D. Liu, Y. Tao, Global boundedness in a fully parabolic attraction–repulsion chemotaxis model, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **38** (2015) 2537–2546.
- [6] M. Luca, A. Chavez-Ross, L. Edelstein-Keshet, A. Mogilner, Chemotactic signaling, microglia, and Alzheimer’s disease senile plaques: Is there a connection? *Bull. Math. Biol.* **65** (2003) 693–730.
- [7] T. Nagai, Y. Seki, T. Yamada, Global existence of solutions to a parabolic attraction-repulsion chemotaxis system in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ : the attractive dominant case, submitted.
- [8] T. Nagai, R. Syukuinn, M. Umesako, Decay properties and asymptotic profiles of bounded solutions to a parabolic system of chemotaxis in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , *Funkcial. Ekvac.* **46** (2003), 383–407.
- [9] T. Nagai, T. Yamada, Boundedness of solutions to the Cauchy problem for an attraction-repulsion chemotaxis system in two-dimensional space, *Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste*, **52**(2020), 1–19 (electronic preview).
- [10] T. Yamada, Global existence and boundedness of solutions to a parabolic attraction–repulsion chemotaxis system in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ : the repulsive dominant case, preprint.